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1 INTRODUCTION

The vertebrate nervous system is composed of a giant array of neural circuits, many of which are established during embryonic development when axon trajectories and functional connections between neurons and their targets are elaborated. Growing axons are guided to their targets by at least four mechanisms: contact attraction, chemoattraction, contact repulsion, and chemorepulsion (Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). Many neuronal connections are made by collateral branches of axons rather than by primary axonal growth cones. The same forces that guide axonal growth cones, appear to regulate axonal branching (Dent et al., 2003; Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman, 1996). Axonal branching enables innervation of multiple targets by the same neuron, leading to the formation of a complex neural network. There are different modes of axonal branching: a) branching which is a result of the growth cone activity, i.e. growth cone splitting/bifurcation and delayed branching and b) interstitial branching which is independent of the growth cone (Acebes and Ferrus, 2000). Whereas guidance of a growth cone is a quite well studied phenomenon, axonal branching is less understood. Sensory axons in spinal cord which display both modes of branching is an excellent model to investigate molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon.

1.1 Navigation and branching of axons

Axons reach their targets through growth cone navigation and through establishment of axonal branches (Gallo and Letourneau, 1999; Huber et al., 2003; Kornack and Giger, 2005). These processes can be studied in regard to environmental cues, which give an impulse to axon to grow in a particular direction or to send branches to particular targets. Another aspect of this directed growth is understanding, how neuron translates signals received from environment into commands that result in an organized growth or branching in a specific direction.

Environmental cues that can steer growth cone include netrins and their receptors DCC/UNC5, Slits and their receptor Robo, semaphorins with their receptors plexins and neuropilins, ephrins and their receptors Eph tyrosine kinases (Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000; Chisholm and Tessier-Lavigne, 1999; Huber et al., 2003; Imondi and Kaprielian, 2001). In addition to these groups of guidance cues, cell adhesion molecules can guide growth cone by modulating its adhesion to extracellular matrix and other cells (Castellani et al.,
Neurotrophic growth factors induce localized directional changes in growth cones (Akiyama et al., 2009; Gallo et al., 1997; Song and Poo, 1999). Guidance cues have influence not only on growth direction but also on branching of axon. Vertebrate Slit2 promotes branching of DRG neurons in vitro (Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000; Van and Flanagan, 1999; Wang et al., 1999) while Semaphorin A supresses it (Dent et al., 2004). Netrin promotes branching from the axon shaft, without involving growth cone while FGF-2 promotes branching by inducing growth cone arrest and enlargement which gives time for growth cone cytoskeleton reorganization (Dent et al., 2004). Not only a signal received from environment determines whether the axon will branch. The signal should be interpreted by the axon and the outcome of interpretation depends on the neuron type, e.g. NGF is necessary for collateral sprouting from pain sensory neurons (Acebes and Ferrus, 2000), but it does not affect branching of central trigeminal axons in brainstem (Ozdinler and Erzurumlu, 2001).

**Fig.1.1: Schematic representation of growth cone cytoskeleton** (Geraldo and Gordon-Weeks, 2009). Microtubules (in green) are organised into parallel bundles by microtubule-associated proteins (MAPS) in axon shaft but in central domain (C-domain) they become defasciculated and extend through the transition zone (T-zone) or even intrude into peripheral domain (P-domain). Most of microtubules are kept away from the P-domain by retrograde actin flow, and those few that intrude are aligned alongside bundles of actin filaments (F-actin). Actin filaments predominate in P-domain where they are organized into a branched dendritic network and form lamellipodia or into parallel bundles and form filopodia. In the T-zone actin is severed. Actin arcs (in blue), composed of F-actin and myosin II transport growing microtubules from the sides of the growth cone into the central domain and facilitates their bundling in the growth cone wrist.
Extension of growth cone, navigation, initiation of branches require coordinated and controlled assembly and dissassembly of the neuronal cytoskeleton (Kornack and Giger, 2005). The neuronal growth cone is organized into peripheral (the leading edge) and central (central area of growth cone) domains. Actin filaments predominate in peripheral domain whereas microtubules are abundant in central domain where they project from the axon shaft (Fig. 1.1). Microtubules are kept away from peripheral domain by a retrograde actin flow. Some highly dynamic microtubules, that rapidly assemble, intrude deep into peripheral domain. They use actin bundles as guides along which they grow towards the leading edge. When actin bundles are disrupted on one side of the growth cone, microtubules cannot penetrate into this area of peripheral domain anymore and axon ceases to grow in this direction which leads to axon turning (Kornack and Giger, 2005; Rodriguez et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2002; Zhou and Cohan, 2004).

![Fig.1.2: The modes of neural branching: bifurcation, delayed and interstitial](Acebes, 2000). In the bifurcation mode an active growth cone divides into two smaller growth cones generating two branches. In the delayed mode, a branch develops from an unstable region of the cell membrane left behind by a previous growth cone. In the interstitial mode the branch emerges from an apparently stable membrane region, usually in an orthogonal direction.

Branching of axons involves rearrangement of cytoskeleton. When repulsive cue is applied in the middle of the growth cone surface, it disrupts the actin bundle structure or microtubule polymerization there. When actin bundles are lost in the middle of the peripheral domain of a growth cone microtubules in this area are lost as well and the same happens when microtubuli are lost. Attenuation of microtubule or F-actin dynamics also inhibits polymerization of the other cytoskeletal element (Dent and Kalil, 2001). Remaining actin bundles on both sides of the growth cone extend further and microtubules follow them. As a result of such growth in two directions, the growth cone splits and bifurcation of growth cone occurs (Zhou et al., 2002). Axon does not grow in a steady pace - its growth cone can pause,
enlarge while the cytoskeleton of the growth cone reorganizes. After the pause growth cone proceeds growing but it leaves filopodial and lamellipodial protrusions on the axon shaft, where new growth cone is formed and delayed (interstitial) branching appears (Acebes and Ferrus, 2000; Dent et al., 1999; Kalil et al., 2000; Szebenyi et al., 1998). Interstitial branching which is independent of growth cone develops all along axon shaft from an apparently stable membrane and not immediately behind the growth cone where filopodial remnants are visible; usually in an orthogonal orientation (Fig. 1.2) (Acebes and Ferrus, 2000; O'Leary and Terashima, 1988; Portera-Cailliau et al., 2005).

Not only cytoskeleton remodelling is important in growth cone navigation and axon branching. Local protein synthesis (Farrar et al., 2009; Lin and Holt, 2007; Van Horck and Holt, 2008; Wu et al., 2005), asymmetric vesicle transport, membrane exocytosis (Kamiguchi and Lemmon, 2000; Tojima et al., 2007), substrate adhesion (Chilton, 2006) also play a role in directional axon growth and branching.

1.2 Cyclic GMP and its role in axonal guidance

Cyclic GMP (cGMP) is a cyclic nucleotide which serves as a second messenger in the cells and regulates variety of cellular processes including cellular growth and contractility, cardiovascular hemostasis, inflammation, visual signal transduction, neuronal plasticity and learning (Feil and Kemp-Harper, 2006). It is produced from guanosine triphosphate (GTP) upon activation of guanylyl cyclases. Guanylyl cyclases that convert synthesis of cGMP from GTP can be either membrane bound particulate guanylyl cyclases or soluble guanylyl cyclases. Homodimer or homotetramer membrane bound guanylyl cyclases are activated upon binding of natriuretic peptides, guanylin/uroguanylin, toxins (thermostable enterotoxins) or guanylyl cyclase activating proteins, whereas heterodimers soluble guanylyl cyclases are activated by nitric oxide (NO) and NO donors, less efficiently by carbon monoxide (CO) (Feil and Kemp-Harper, 2006; Kobialka and Gorczyca, 2000; Koesling and Friebe, 1999; Lucas et al., 2000).

cGMP has several targets: cyclic nucleotide gated ion channels (CNG), phosphodiesterases and cGMP dependent kinases (cGK) (Fig. 1.3). The principal family of CNG channels regulates influx of Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) into cells, they are important in phototransduction, in Na\(^+\) reabsorption in kidney (Lucas et al., 2000). Phosphodiesterases activated by cyclic nucleotides hydrolyze cyclic nucleotides. They can be stimulated by cGMP (e.g. PDE2), inhibited by cGMP (e.g. PDE3), inhibited by cAMP (e.g. PDE10) and hydrolyse either
cAMP (e.g. PDE4), or cGMP (e.g. PDE5) or both (e.g. PDE2). In this way cGMP elevating
agents can change level of cAMP and vice versa (Feil and Kemp-Harper, 2006; Lucas et al.,
2000; Omori and Kotera, 2007). cGMP dependent protein kinases represent the principal
intracellular mediator of cGMP actions and will be discussed separately in the next chapter.

![cGMP signalling](adapted from (Feil and Kemp-Harper, 2006)). Green coloured cGMP generators -
soluble guanylyl cyclases (sGC) and particulate guanylyl cyclases (pGC) - produce cGMP which stimulates
three main red coloured targets: cyclic nucleotide gated ion channels, cGMP dependent kinases (cGKs) and
phosphodiesterases (PDEs). cGK has two genes: cGKI and cGKII. cGKI has two splice variants: cGKIA and
cGKIB. Some cellular functions of cGMP effectors (red) are indicated in grey boxes and above them -
phosphorylation targets of cGKI underlying these cellular functions.

Cyclic nucleotides, including cGMP, received attention of scientists working in the field of
axonal growth when Poo and colleagues demonstrated that these second messengers are
capable of modulating the response of growth cones to guidance cues. They showed that
increased cGMP signalling changed growth cone repulsion, induced by Sema III, into
attraction, and decreased cGMP signal changed attraction, induced by neurotrophin-3 (NT-3),
into repulsion. Change of growth cone response to guidance cues was shown to be mediated
by cGMP dependent kinase (Song et al., 1998; Song and Poo, 1999).
1.3 cGMP dependent kinases

cGMP dependent protein kinases are serine/threonine kinases activated by cGMP. In mammals two genes prkg1 and prkg2 encode soluble cGKI and membrane-associated cGKII. cGKI protein has α and β isoforms that are splice variants and differ in the N-terminal dimerization domain. cGK has dimerization mediating domain and inhibitory region suppressing kinase activity in the absence of cGMP at the N-terminus, regulatory domain where cGMP is bound and C-terminal catalytic domain which has kinase activity and binding sites for ATP and phosphorylation substrates (Fig. 1.4) (Domek-Lopacinska and Strosznajder, 2005; Feil et al., 2005a; Hofmann et al., 2000; Hofmann, 2005; Lohmann et al., 1997; Wang and Robinson, 1997).

![Fig.1.4: Schematic representation of cGK structure and its activation by cGMP](image)

(A) cGKI subunit consists of: an amino terminal domain mediating dimerization, regulatory domain containing two cGMP binding sites and catalytic domain with a kinase activity comprising binding sites for ATP and cGKI substrates. Amino terminal domain includes an inhibitory region suppressing kinase activity in the absence of cGMP. (B) Binding of four cGMP molecules to a cGK homodimer induces a conformational change. As a result kinase activity is no longer inhibited by the amino terminal domain and can phosphorylate substrate proteins.

cGK mediates signal of cGMP by phosphorylating its downstream targets. Protein kinases often exhibit specificities for the specific amino acids around the phosphorylation sites. The motif around the phosphorylation site that is recognized by cGK is K/R K/R X S/T. cGKI is
closely related with cAMP dependent kinase (PKA) and some downstream targets are even shared by both kinases. However, cGK kinase prefers RKXS/T consensus motif while PKA prefers RRXS/T motif (Dostmann et al., 1999; Glass and Krebs, 1979; Schlossmann and Desch, 2009; Tegge et al., 1995).

Most of cGK substrates are phosphorylated by either cGKI or cGKII but not by both. cGKI isozymes often share their substrates, however, some substrates are regulated specifically by cGKIα (e.g. regulating myosin phosphatase targeting subunit) or by cGKIβ (e.g. IP3RI-associated cGMP kinase substrate - IRAG). cGKI relaxes smooth muscle tone. Loss of cGKI results in severe vascular and intestinal dysfunctions (Pfeifer et al., 1998). cGKI also plays a role in generation of long term potentiation (LTP) in hippocampus and long term depression (LTD) in cerebellum, as well as in nociception. cGKII is involved in endochondrial oscillations. cGKII knock-out mice are dwarfs (Hofmann et al., 2006; Vaandrager et al., 2005).

One of the best known targets of cGKI is VASP. Phosphorylation of this protein makes a size shift from 46 to 50 kDa in SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions, and VASP is widely used as a marker of cGK phosphorylation. VASP phosphorylation in platelets is a well studied phenomenon. VASP is directly involved in accelerating actin filament elongation by delivering monomeric actin to the growing barbed end and by restricting actin filaments capping. Capping of barbed ends by capping proteins prevents continued polymerisation. Phosphorylation of VASP brings loss of its anticapping activity (Aszodi et al., 1999; Breitsprecher et al., 2008; Lebrand et al., 2004). Other known cGK target that plays a role in actin regulation is RhoA (Hofmann et al., 2009; Schlossmann and Desch, 2009).

cGKI can change intracellular Ca²⁺ level by phosphorylating and activating large-conductance Ca²⁺-activated maxi-K⁺ (BKCa) channels. Opening of BKCa channels results in hyperpolarisation of cell membrane and closing of voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels, thereby reducing Ca²⁺ influx. It can phosphorylate IP3 receptor I associated cGMP kinase substrate (IRAG), thereby inhibiting IP3 induced Ca²⁺ release from intracellular stores.

There are over ten cGKI substrates identified in vivo and a much larger number shown to be phosphorylated in vitro (Hofmann et al., 2009).

### 1.4 Spinal cord

The brain and spinal cord together make up the central nervous system. The spinal cord functions in the transmission of sensory and motor signals between the brain and the rest of
the body. In cross-section, neuronal axonal tracts forming white matter and nerve cell bodies forming the gray, butterfly shaped central region, are observed. The cell bodies of the primary sensory neurons that innervate receptors, sensing pressure, vibration, temperature, pain, muscle tension are located in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG). DRG are attached parallel on both sides of the spinal cord. DRG neurons give rise to axons that grow to spinal cord within dorsal roots. After reaching the dorsolateral wall of spinal cord, the dorsal root entry zone, axons bifurcate and grow rostrocaudally without penetrating the dorsal mantle layer (Fig. 1.5). The first axon reach the dorsal root entry zone (DREZ) and bifurcate at embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) in the mouse. After 48 hours of “waiting period” collateral branches sprout from sensory axons and extend into the dorsal mantle layer (Ozaki and Snider, 1997).

![Fig.1.5: Schematic representation of spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia](Schmidt et al., 2007). Cell bodies of sensory neurons assembled at dorsal root ganglia (DRG) give rise to axons that reach the spinal cord via dorsal roots. Axons bifurcate upon arrival at the dorsal root entry zone and grow in rostrocaudal direction. Later collateral branches sprout from the stem axon and grow into the gray matter. Different classes of sensory axons have different growth trajectories in the gray matter: proprioceptive afferents (in blue) expressing trkC, which are involved in the muscle stretch reflex, grow directly ventrally whereas cutaneous afferents (in red), expressing trkA, enter the dorsal horn and stay here in superficial regions (Ozaki and Snider, 1997;Sharma and Frank, 1998).

There are several axon guidance molecules expressed in the spinal cord that are known to control sensory axon growth. Netrin-1 is expressed in the ventral spinal cord and also transiently, in E12.5 mouse embryos, in the dorsolateral spinal cord where it prevents DRG axon from entering mantle layer prematurely (Masuda et al., 2008;Watanabe et al., 2006). Sema 3A contributes to regulation of developing sensory projections. It is expressed during early developmental stages in the chick spinal cord but its expression stops at the dorsal horn.
when collateral branches of sensory axons penetrate the mantle layer (Fu, 2000; Shepherd, 1997). At the early developmental stages all sensory axons at the spinal cord are repelled by Sema 3A (Fu et al., 2000; Puschel et al., 1996). However, sensory trajectories towards the spinal cord are not affected in the Sema 3A knock-out mice (Masuda et al., 2007) suggesting that Sema 3A is not crucial in sensory axon guidance. Slit1 and Slit2 are expressed in the dorsal spinal cord at the time when sensory axons reach the spinal cord, whereas, Robo1 and Robo2, receptors for Slit, are expressed in the DRG. In vitro it was shown that Slit2 stimulates elongation and branching of sensory axons (Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000; Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007; Nguyen Ba-Charvet et al., 2001; Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al., 2001; Wang et al., 1999). Finally, three neurotrophins: nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) are chemoattractants to DRG axons (Masuda et al., 2007).

1.5 cGMP signalling in spinal cord

The group of Fritz Rathjen found that cGMP signal mediated by cGKI is required for sensory axon bifurcation at the spinal cord. Investigation of DiI stained whole mounts and anti-trkA stained transverse sections of the spinal cord revealed defect of the cGKI knock-out sensory axon growth suggesting that bifurcation of sensory axons is impaired. Anti-trkA staining in transverse spinal cord sections of cGKI mutant mice is decreased compared to normal mice, showing that cGKI knock-out mice have less trkA-positive collateral branches due to the reduced axon number available for the production of collaterals from the stem axon within the dorsal funiculus. This anatomical feature correlates with finding that functional connectivity of sensory afferents in mice is reduced (Schmidt et al., 2002). Susanne Schäffer from the same group identified VASP as a phosphorylation target of cGKI in sensory neurons. However, pathfinding of DRG axons was not disturbed in VASP knock-out mouse, suggesting that VASP is not involved in bifurcation error found in cGKI knock-out mouse (Schäffer, 2006).

Katharina Seiferth, a member of Fritz Rathjen’s group, showed that expression of PDE2A correlates with expression of cGKI and expression of cGMP producing Npr2 receptor in spinal cord. PDE2A is activated by cGMP and hydrolyzes both cGMP and cAMP. PDE2A is observed in cell bodies of DRG neurons and their axons. This suggests that PDE2A might play a role in bifurcation of sensory axons as a part of cGMP signalling pathway (Seiferth, 2008).
1.6 The aims of this study

The purpose of this study was to extend the knowledge about cGMP signalling cascade regulating sensory axon bifurcation, first of all by detailed analysis of the bifurcation error which was detected in the previous study but was not unequivocally proved (Schmidt et al., 2002). This goal required improvement of the DiI labelling technique enabling labelling of single sensory axons. Improved DiI labelling technique allowed to set further aims:

- to study sensory axon branching at the DREZ in mice mutant for the components of cGMP signalling cascade, including cGKI, Npr2, CNP, using the improved DiI tracing;
- to analyse the formation of collaterals of sensory axons within the spinal cord by DiI labelling;
- to search for bifurcation errors outside the spinal cord, first of all by analysing central branch of trigeminal sensory axon using DiI tracing,
- to study downstream phosphorylation targets of cGKI by DiI tracing and biochemical methods. The latter aim could be divided into two smaller ones:
  - to study known/putative downstream targets of cGKI (VASP, Mena, myosin IIB, GSK3β, RhoA) regarding their role in sensory axon bifurcation;
  - to identify novel targets of cGKI in DRG neurons.
## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Materials

#### 2.1.1 Chemical reagents and enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Producer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
<td>Bio-Rad, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium hydroxide (Al(OH)$_3$)</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium persulfate (APS)</td>
<td>GE Healthcare, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampholytes</td>
<td>Bio-Rad, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate para-toluidine salt (BCIP)</td>
<td>Carl Roth, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine serum albumin (BSA) fraction V</td>
<td>Biomol, Hamburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calyculin A</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences, Lörrach (formerly Alexis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250</td>
<td>Bio-Rad, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dithiothreitol (DTT)</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP-Mix</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)</td>
<td>Merc, Darmstadt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxyde</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda protein phosphatase</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium chloride</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-mercaptoethylanol</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Supplier/Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCl₂</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowiol</td>
<td>Carl Roth, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT)</td>
<td>Carl Roth, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.C.T. Tissue Tec</td>
<td>Sakura Finetec, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okadaic acid</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences, Lörrach (formerly Alexis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS (Dulbecco), calcium and magnesium free</td>
<td>Biochrom, Hamburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-pCPT-cGMP</td>
<td>Biolog, Bremen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein A-sepharose, CL 4B</td>
<td>GE Healthcare Life Sciences, München (formerly Amersham)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein phosphatase buffer</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction enzyme AflIII</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction enzyme AvaII (Eco47I)</td>
<td>Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver nitrate, AgNO₃</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride (NaCl)</td>
<td>Carl Roth, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)</td>
<td>BioRad, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taq DNA Polymerase, recombinant</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N’ ,N’ -tetramethylendiamine (TEMED)</td>
<td>GE Healthcare, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethan (Tris)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich, Deisenhofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular mass standards for SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>BioRad, München</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the chemicals that were used but are not listed above, were bought from Merck, Roth or Sigma.
2.1.2 Buffers and solutions

- **5 x Laemmli buffer**
  60mM Tris/HCl (pH 6.8); 10% SDS; 10% β-mercaptoethanol; 50% glycerine; 1.5% bromophenol blue

- **Antibody solution for immunohistochemistry**
  5% goat serum in wash buffer for immunohistochemistry

- **AP (alkaline phosphatase) buffer**
  100mM Tris-HCl pH 9.7; 5mM MgCl₂; 0.01mM ZnCl₂

- **AP (alkaline phosphatase) developer**
  0.165 mg/ml NBT; 0.825 mg/ml BCIP in AP buffer

- **Blocking buffer for Western blot**
  4% BSA (w/v) in wash buffer for Western blot

- **Blocking buffer for immunohistochemistry**
  10% goat serum in wash buffer for immunohistochemistry

- **Coomassie destain**
  500 ml methanol; 100 ml acetic acid; 500 ml water

- **Coomassie stain**
  500 ml methanol; 100 ml acetic acid; 500 ml water; 2.5 g coomassie brilliant blue R250

- **Destain solution (for Pro-Q Diamond stain)**
  50 ml 1M sodium acetate (C₂H₃NaO₂), pH 4; 200 ml acetonitrile; water up to 1 l.

- **Elution buffer for phosphoprotein enrichment**
  8M urea; 100mM potassium pyrophosphate, pH 9 (with phosphoric acid)

- **Equilibration buffer I for 2D gel electrophoresis**
  0.375 M Tris HCl pH 8.8; 6 M urea; 20% (v/v) glycerol; 2% (w/v) SDS. Immediately before usage 35mg/10ml DTT are added.

- **Equilibration buffer II for 2D gel electrophoresis**
  0.375 M Tris HCl pH 8.8; 6 M urea; 20% (v/v) glycerol; 2% (w/v) SDS. Immediately before usage 250mg/10ml iodocetamide and some grains of bromophenol blue are added.
• Fixation solution (for silver staining) 50% (v/v) methanol, 12% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA), 2% (w/v) CuCl₂

• Incubation buffer for phosphoprotein enrichment 8M urea; 30mM MES; 0.2M potassium glutamate; 0.2M sodium aspartate; 0.5%CHAPS; pH 6.0 (with HCl)

• Lysis buffer for 2D gel electrophoresis 8 M urea; 2M thiourea; 4 % (w/v) CHAPS; 6.5mM DTT; 0.5% ampholytes. Immediately before usage 8 mM PMSF is added.

• Mowiol (10% solution) 2.4 g Mowiol (Calbiochem); 15.6 ml water; 2.4 ml 1 M Tris pH 8.5 combined and left overnight; next day 6 ml glycerine added.

• PFA (paraformaldehyde) solution, 4% 4% PFA (w/v) in 1x PBS. PFA is first dissolved in water and heated under constant stirring to 60°C. 10 N NaOH is added until solution becomes clear. PBS is added; pH adjusted to 7.2.

• Protease inhibitor coctail 5 mM pepstatin A; 5 mM leupeptin; 20 u/µl aprotonin; 100 mM PMSF

• Ponceau stain 2% (w/v) Ponceau S; 3% (v/v) perchloretic acid

• Rehydration buffer for 2D gel electrophoresis 8M urea; 2M thiourea; 1% (w/v) CHAPS. Immediately before usage 5.2µl/ml ampholytes; 12µl/ml destreak and several grains of bromophenol blue are added.

• SDS-PAGE electrophoresis buffer 25 mM Tris; 192 mM glycine; 0.1% (w/v) SDS

• Solution W 10% (v/v) ethanol; 5% (v/v) acetic acid

• TAE Buffer (50X) 40 mM Tris base; 20 mM glacial acetic acid; 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)

• Transfer buffer 20% (v/v) methanol in SDS-PAGE electrophoresis buffer without SDS

• Wash solution (PBS/Tween 20) for 0.5 % (v/v) Tween 20 in 1xPBS
Western blot

- **Wash solution (PBS/Triton X-100) for immunohistochemistry** 0.25% Triton X-100 in 1xPBS

2.1.3 Special reagents and kits

Kit/reagent | Producer
---|---
DAB kit | Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA
High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit for small-scale (mini) preparations | Roche, Mannheim
Pierce ECL Western blotting substrate | Pierce, Rockford (USA)
Readystrip, IPG strips; 7 cm, 17 cm; pH 3-10, 4.7-5.9 | BioRad, München

2.1.4 Cell culture media

Medium | Producer
---|---
Nutrient mixture F-12 + GlutaMAX | Gibco, Eggenstein

2.1.5 Additional components of cell culture media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal calf serum (FCS)</td>
<td>Gibco, Eggenstein Biochrom, Hamburg</td>
<td>15 % (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAT media supplement</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe</td>
<td>2 % (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin/streptomycin</td>
<td>Gibco, Eggenstein</td>
<td>100 U/µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.6 Primers/Oligonucleotides for genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Label of primer</th>
<th>Primer sequence (Direction 5’-3’</th>
<th>Product size, bp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNP</td>
<td>GT_lbab_UP2</td>
<td>AGCTGGTGGAATCAGAAAA</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GT_lbab_LP</td>
<td>GCTTGTTGCGATGCTAGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.1.7 Antibodies

2.1.7.1 Primary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Concentration (WB) – Western blot (IH) – immunohistochemistry (WH) – &quot;whole mount&quot;</th>
<th>Company/donating lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti VASP</td>
<td>1:5 000 (WB)</td>
<td>Frank B.Gertler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti Mena</td>
<td>1:5 000 (WB)</td>
<td>Frank B.Gertler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Mena</td>
<td>1:5 000 (WB)</td>
<td>Frank B.Gertler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti Mena</td>
<td>1:10 (WB)</td>
<td>Frank B.Gertler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti 2H3 (165kDa neurofilament-protein)</td>
<td>0.1µg/ml (WH)</td>
<td>Dev. Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti γ-tubulin</td>
<td>1:10 000 (WB)</td>
<td>Fa. Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti RhoA (clone 26C4)</td>
<td>1:200 (WB)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti phospho-RhoA</td>
<td>1:1000 (WB)</td>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.1.7.2 Secondary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Company/donating lab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti Rabbit –cy3</td>
<td>1:400 (IH)</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti Rabbit -HRP</td>
<td>1:25000 (WB)</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:400 (WH)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti Mouse -HRP</td>
<td>1:25000 (WB)</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti Rabbit -AP</td>
<td>1:10000 (WB)</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti Mouse -AP</td>
<td>1:10000 (WB)</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.1.8 Cell lines

- F11 cell line, a fusion product of cells of mouse neuroblastoma cell line N18TG-2 and embryonic rat dorsal-root ganglion (DRG) neurons
2.1.9 Experimental animals

- C57Bl6 (bred at MDC)
- cGKI knock-out mice (received from Franz Hofmann; München (Wegener et al., 2002))
- VASP knock-out mice (received from Reinhard Fässler; München (Aszodi et al., 1999))
- Mena/VASP double knock-out mice (received from Thomas Renee; (Menzies et al., 2004))
- Thy1-YFP-H mice (received from Joshua R. Sanes; (Feng et al., 2000))
- Thy-GFP-M (received from Joshua R. Sanes; (Feng et al., 2000))
- cn/en mice (obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories)
- lbab mice (obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories)
- GSK3 knock-in mice (Ser21 in GSK3-α and Ser9 in GSK3-β are changed to Ala)
  (fixed E12 embryos used for analyses were received from Dario R. Alessi and Kei Sakamoto (McManus, 2005))

2.1.10 Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of equipment</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Producer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell culture hood</td>
<td>Kelvitron</td>
<td>Heraeus, Hanau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge</td>
<td>Centrifuge 5415C</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge</td>
<td>Biofuge 13</td>
<td>Heraeus, Hanau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge</td>
<td>RC M150GX</td>
<td>Sorvall, Bad Homburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryostat</td>
<td>Jung CM 3000</td>
<td>Leica, Wetzlar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic stirrer</td>
<td>Ilkamag Reo</td>
<td>WTW, Weilheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular imager system</td>
<td>ChemiDoc</td>
<td>BioRad, München</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropipette Puller</td>
<td>Flaming/Brown, model P-97</td>
<td>Sutter Instrument Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope</td>
<td>Telaval 31</td>
<td>Zeiss, Jena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope</td>
<td>Axiovert 135</td>
<td>Zeiss, Jena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope</td>
<td>Axiovert 200M</td>
<td>Zeiss, Jena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH-meter</td>
<td>pH525</td>
<td>WTW, Weilheim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photodevelopment machine Curix 60 Agfa, Mortsel, Belgium
Photometer Biophotometer 6131 Eppendorf, Hamburg
SDS-PAGE cell Protean II BioRad, München
SDS-PAGE cell Protean II xi BioRad, München
Thermocycler PCR-Mastercycler gradient Eppendorf, Hamburg
Thermomixer Eppendorf, Hamburg
Universal image system Universal Hood II BioRad, München
Vortex mixer CERTOMAT MV WTW, Weilheim
Western Blot cell Protean II BioRad, München
Western Blot cell Criterion BioRad, München

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 DiI labelling

DiI belongs to fluorescent lipophilic carbocyanine dyes. It labels both fixed and living cells. DiI becomes incorporated into the plasma membranes of neurons exposed to it and then diffuses within the plane of the membrane. There is no evidence of passive diffusion from labelled neurons to other neurons or glia (Godement et al., 1987; Vercelli et al., 2000).

Spinal cords with attached DRG were isolated from E12-E14 aged mice embryos and left in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS overnight. On the next day DRG labelling was carried out. Needle pulled from borosilicate glass capillary was dipped in 200 µM DiI solution in ethanol. Ethanol evaporated leaving tiny DiI crystals on the glass needle. DRG were touched with the tip of the needle, thereby leaving some of DiI crystals on DRG cell bodies. Neurons that incorporated the dye become labelled and can be observed after one day.

The needles are made using a micropipet puller. We use Kwik-Fil borosilicate glass capillaries 1B150F-4 (World precision Instruments) with the following program to create a fine tip: heat = 640, pull = 0, velocity = 44, time = 136.

For N.trigeminus labelling heads of E12-E14 mice were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for one week. After fixation heads were sagittally sectioned on vibratome into 140 µm thick slices. The slices were examined under the light microscope to locate trigeminal ganglion. Observed trigeminal ganglia were labelled with DiI by touching the ganglion with a glass needle tip coated with tiny DiI crystals. Labelled slices were mounted in Mowiol solution between two cover slips and examined under fluorescence microscope next day.
2.2.2 Histological methods

2.2.2.1 Preparation of tissue sections

Spinal cords dissected from E12-E14 embryos were fixed at 4°C in freshly prepared 4\% paraformaldehyde with 0.32 M sucrose on a shaker for 4 hours and afterwards left overnight under the same conditions in 30 % sucrose in PBS. On the next day spinal cords were embedded in Tissue Tek (Sakura) and immediately frozen on dry ice. Frozen spinal cords were stored at -80°C until they were cut on cryostat in 16 µm thick transverse sections. Spinal cord slices were collected on microscope slides coated with gelatine and stored at -20°C.

2.2.2.2 Tissue sections of stimulated and unstimulated spinal cords

Heads of E12 embryos were cut providing better accessibility to the spinal cord. Spinal cords were exposed from the dorsal side by stripping the thin dermis layer. Embryos with exposed spinal cords were left for 5 min in 37°C warm 1 mM 8-pCPTcGMP solution with phosphatase inhibitors calyculin A (1 µM) and okadaic acid (1 µM). Control embryos with stripped spinal cord were incubated in PBS with phosphatase inhibitors. After incubation embryos were immediately fixed at 4°C in freshly prepared 4 % paraformaldehyde with 0.32 M sucrose. Further steps are described in section 2.2.2.1 “Preparation of tissue sections”.

2.2.2.3 Immunohistochemistry of tissue sections

Spinal cord sections were thawed for 1 h at room temperature. They were blocked with blocking solution for 1 hour followed by 5 wash steps à 5 min. First antibody in antibody solution was added and sections incubated overnight at 4°C. Next day slices were washed 5 times à 5 min and second antibody in antibody solution was added. Slices were incubated in darkness at room temperature for 2 hours. Then slices were washed 3 times à 3 min and mounted in Mowiol on microscope slide covered with cover slip. Immunostained slices of spinal cord were examined under fluorescence microscope Axiovert 135 equipped with Neofluar/Acroplan objectives, a charged-coupled device camera (Axiocam HRC), and acquisition software (Axiovision 3.1).
2.2.2.4 Whole-mount staining of embryos

Whole-mount staining of Mena/VASP double knock-out embryos with anti-neurofilament was performed in order to confirm their phenotype described by Menzies et al. (Menzies et al., 2004). E10.5 embryos were dissected from uterus and left rocking in 4% paraformaldehyde solution in PBS at 4°C overnight. Next day embryos were immersed in Dent’s fixative and left rocking at 4°C overnight. Afterwards Dent’s fixative was replaced by fresh Dent’s fixative with 10% H2O2 and embryos left rocking at room temperature for 5-6 hours until they become bleached. At this point it is possible to store embryos in methanol at -20°C for longer period. After the bleaching step embryos were blocked overnight at 4°C in blocking solution for Western blots containing 5% (v/v) DMSO. Incubation with neurofilament 2H3 (Devlp. Studies Hybridoma Bank; Iowa) in blocking solution with 5% (v/v) DMSO was carried out at 4°C overnight. Next day 5 wash steps with PBS à 1 hour and incubation with the secondary antibody Rb α M HRP in blocking solution with 5% (v/v) DMSO overnight at 4°C followed. Embryos were washed 5 times à 1 hour in PBS. Neurofilament staining was developed using DAB reaction with DAB kit according to manufacturer’s instructions. Stained embryos were immersed into benzylalcohol/benzylbenzoat mix (1:3) and stored in eppendorf tubes. It should be taken into account that benzylalcohol/benzylbenzoat mix is an organic solvent and most plastics will melt upon a contact with it, therefore only organic solvent stable eppendorf tubes and dishes should be used.

2.2.3 Biochemical methods

2.2.3.1 Preparation of protein lysate from unstimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated DRG

Embryonic mouse DRG were isolated from E12-E13 embryos. In average 40 DRG were obtained from one embryo and kept on ice in PBS until further processing. Half of DRG (20 DRG from one embryo) were treated as unstimulated control, another half was stimulated with cGMP analogue 8-pCPTcGMP. After centrifugation (5 min 3000 rpm on microfuge) PBS was removed, 50 µl fresh PBS or 50 µl PBS with 1 mM 8-pCPTcGMP were added to 20 DRG. DRG were left for 5 min at 37°C for stimulation. The lysate was prepared by
homogenisation with Eppendorf-homogeniser in PBS; followed by addition of 12.5 µl 5 x Laemmli buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8; 12.5 % glycerol; 1 % SDS; 0.01 % bromophenol blue) and boiling for 5 min. Lysates were stored at -20°C. When DRG were isolated from mutant mouse strain, tail or leg from each embryo was taken for further genotyping.

2.2.3.2 Preparation of protein lysate from unstimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated F11 cells

F11 cells were serum-starved over night. The next day cells were collected. They detach easily from a cell culture plate after using shear stress by pipeting cell culture medium up and down in the plate. F11 cells together with cell culture medium collected from one 10 ml cell culture plate were divided between two Falcon tubes and centrifuged for 5 min at 800 rpm in Heraeus floor centrifuge. After removal of supernatant 500 µl or 450 µl previously removed cell culture medium was taken back to cell pellet. Cells were homogenized in cell culture medium by passing them several times through syringe and needle (0.40 x 20 mm). After homogenization 50 µl 10 mM 8-pCPTcGMP was added to the sample with volume of 450 µl. Cells were left for 5 min at 37°C for stimulation. Afterwards 125 µl 5 x Laemmli buffer was added and lysate was boiled for 5 min at 95°C. Lysates were stored at minus 20°C.

Homogenisation of F11 cells was performed before stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP because it was noticed that the 51 kDa band becomes completely dephosphorylated under these conditions. In case F11 cell lysates were not prepared immediately after stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP; homogenisation was carried out following stimulation of F11 cells. After the stimulation step F11 cells were again centrifuged (5 min 800 rpm in Heraeus floor centrifuge), supernatant containing 8-pCPTcGMP removed and fresh PBS added. Then further processing of cells proceeded.

2.2.3.3 Subcellular fractionation of DRG and F11 cell lysates

About 300 DRG were isolated from 10 embryos. They were divided into two parts and kept in PBS. One part was stimulated with 10 mM 8-pCPTcGMP at 37°C for 5 min, another part served as an unstimulated control. Both samples were then centrifuged (in an Eppendorf-centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 3 min) and after removal of supernatant pellets were resuspended in 300 µl PBS. After homogenization (syringes with needle ø 0.4 mm, Braun) lysates were centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min. Supernatant (defined as postnuclear supernatant) was
transferred to another eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 100,000 g for 15 min in ultracentrifuge. Resulting supernatant was defined as cytoplasmic fraction and pellet – as membrane fraction. After each step sample aliquots were taken for further analysis. They were prepared for SDS-PAGE analysis by boiling at 95°C for 5 min with addition of 5xLaemmli buffer.

2.2.3.4 Dephosphorylation with lambda protein-phosphatase

2.2.3.4.1 Dephosphorylation of cell lysates (prior to electrophoresis)

Protein phosphatase buffer, MnCl$_2$ (final concentration 2mM and protease inhibitor cocktail were added to cell lysate. After addition of 1µl (=400 units) of lambda protein phosphatase to 50µl of lysate it was incubated at 30°C for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 5x Laemmli buffer and boiling lysate for 5 min at 95°C.

2.2.3.4.2 Dephosphorylation on the nitrocellulose membrane (after SDS-PAGE and blot)

Blotted nitrocellulose membrane was blocked in blocking buffer for Western blot for 1 hour with constant agitation and incubated in blocking buffer containing 2 mM MnCl$_2$ and 400 U/ml of lambda protein phosphatase overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed in washing buffer for Western blot for 3-4 min, rinsed in 4-5 changes of water. Then the Western blotting assay was continued as usually.

2.2.3.5 SDS-PAGE

To separate proteins by size sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed in a vertical gel chamber “Mini Protean II” (BioRad). This method is based on a discontinuous gel system: a stacking gel and a separating gel (Laemmli, 1970). Separating gel containing different percentages of acrylamide according to the molecular mass of protein of interest was made using solutions for polyacrylamide gel, listed below. The separating gel solution was applied into the gel cassette up to ± 6.5 cm, and the last ± 2.5 cm of the cassette was filled with isopropanol. The gel was polymerized for at least 30 minutes, and the isopropanol was carefully removed. The stacking gel solution was directly poured into the gel cassette, and the gel comb was placed to form the slots. The stacking gel was polymerized for at least 60 minutes.
Table 2.1: Preparation of separating and stacking gels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Separating gel 7.5%</th>
<th>Separating gel 10%</th>
<th>Stacking gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 % acrylamide/bisacrylamide</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>3.3 ml</td>
<td>0.65 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M Tris; pH 8.8</td>
<td>3.75 ml</td>
<td>3.75 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M Tris; pH 6.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>3.6 ml</td>
<td>2.8 ml</td>
<td>3.7 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % SDS</td>
<td>100 μl</td>
<td>100 μl</td>
<td>50 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % APS</td>
<td>50 μl</td>
<td>50 μl</td>
<td>25 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>10 μl</td>
<td>10 μl</td>
<td>10 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrophoresis was carried out in Tris-glycine electrophoresis buffer. The electrophoresis was divided in two steps. During the first step, proteins were run in the stacking gel for 10 min at 100 V. During the second step, proteins were separated by size running through the pores of the separating gel at 200 V. SDS-PAGE standards (BioRad) were used to assess apparent molecular masses of proteins.

2.2.3.6 Western blot

Then proteins separated on the polyacrylamide gel were transferred with “Mini-Transblot” rig (BioRad) in transfer buffer on a nitrocellulose membrane (at 100 V for 1 h, 4°C). After transfer, the membrane was immersed for 5 min in Ponceau stain, washed with distilled water and scanned. Bands of SDS-PAGE standard were marked with a pencil. Afterwards, nitrocellulose membrane was immersed in blocking buffer for 30 min at room temperature and incubated with primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. After three washings for 10 min, membrane was incubated with secondary antibody, either coupled to HRP (1:25000 in washing buffer) or to AP (1:10000 in washing buffer) for 1 h at room temperature. Then the membrane was washed five times for 5 min in washing buffer and once for 10 min either in PBS or in AP-buffer. In case of antibodies coupled to HRP; chemiluminescence signal was produced by the “SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate” (Pierce) according to Pierce’s instructions and developed either on “Lumi-Film Chemiluminescent Detection Film” (Roche) with a photodevelopment-machine Curix 60.
(Agfa) or image of the signal was obtained in a BioRad Universal Hood II equipped with a ChemiDoc documentation system. In case of antibodies coupled to AP the membrane was developed in AP-buffer with 165 µg/ml NBT-solution and 82.5 µg/ml BCIP-solution. Intensity of the bands in Western blots was assessed using software programme ImageJ.

2.2.3.7 Coomassie staining

Coomassie Blue staining is based on the binding of the dye Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250, which binds nonspecifically to virtually all proteins. Although Coomassie Blue staining is less sensitive than silver staining, it is widely used due to its convenience.

Gel was immersed into Coomassie staining solution immediately after SDS-PAGE. It was left there shaking for 1 hour. Afterwards followed destaining with destain solution as long, as sharp blue bands of proteins became visible.

2.2.3.8 Silver staining

In silver staining protein detection depends on the binding of silver ions to glutamin, asparagine and cysteine amino acid chains of proteins, followed by reduction to free metallic silver. The protein bands are visualized as spots where the reduction occurs.

Immediately after SDS-PAGE, gel was fixed in fixation solution for 20 min, followed by washing in solution W for 10 min and incubation in 0.01% (w/v) KMnO₄ solution for 10 min. Gel was washed twice à 5 min in solution W. Then it was shaken in 10% ethanol for 10 min, washed for 10 min in water and shaken in 0.2 % AgNO₃ for 10 min. Finally, it was washed with water for 20 sec, and incubated in 10% (w/v) K₂CO₃. Protein bands were developed by shaking gel in developing solution as long as desired intensity of protein band staining was achieved (usually 3-15 min). In the end gel was immersed in solution W for 10 min followed by water. Afterwards gel was scanned and dried for 45 min between two transparent cellophane sheets on heating block under vacuum conditions.

2.2.3.9 Phosphoprotein staining

To visualize phosphoproteins gels were stained with Pro-Q Diamond phosphoprotein gel stain (Invitrogen). Immediately after SDS-PAGE gels were fixed in 50% methanol (v/v) and 10% (v/v) acetic acid solution for 30 min. Afterwards gel was incubated in water for 10 min.
with gentle agitation. This step was repeated twice in order to remove all methanol and acetic acid. Then gel was incubated in diluted Pro-Q Diamond Stain for 90 minutes with gentle agitation. Finally gel was incubated in destain solution for 90 minutes in total, changing destain solution every 30 minutes, and washed with water.

Pro-Q Diamond phosphoprotein stain has an excitation maximum at 555 nm and an emission maximum at 580 nm. It was imaged with Molecular Imager FX (BioRad) using 532 nm laser as excitation source and 555 nm longpass emission filter.

2.2.3.10 Phosphoprotein enrichment with aluminium hydroxide

F11 cells were serum-starved over night. The next day F11 cells were collected from three 10 ml cell culture plates and centrifuged for 5 min at 800 rpm in Heraeus floor centrifuge. After removal of supernatant F11 cells remained in pellet. The pellet was resuspended in 2 ml incubation buffer. Cells were homogenized by passing them several times through syringe and needle (0.40 x 20 mm). Homogenized cells were centrifuged in Heraeus ”Biofuge 13” at 4,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Supernatant was taken to eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 20 min. An aliquot of supernatant was taken for further analysis. 5x Laemmli buffer was added to it and the sample was boiled for 5 min.

Al(OH)_3 was prewashed with incubation buffer. 1ml incubation buffer was added to 80 mg Al(OH)_3, solution was vortexed and centrifuged at maximum speed in table centrifuge for 1 min. Supernatant was removed. The procedure was repeated four times.

About 700 µl supernatant left after centrifugation of F11 cells homogenate in incubation buffer was added to 80 mg of prewashed Al(OH)_3. The mixture was left rotating at 4°C overnight. The incubation overnight was followed by five washing steps with incubation buffer. Finally, proteins were eluted from the Al(OH)_3 matrix by incubation with 800 µl of elution buffer for 30 min at room temperature. Proteins were precipitated with methanol/chloroform precipitation (described in detail in 2.2.5.1 “Sample preparation”) prior to gel loading.

2.2.3.11 Immunoprecipitation

About 300 DRG were isolated from 10 embryos. They were divided into two parts and kept in PBS. One part was stimulated with 10 mM 8-pCPTcGMP at 37°C for 5 min, another part
served as an unstimulated control. Both samples were then centrifuged (in an Eppendorf-centrifuge at 3,000 rpm for 3 min) and after removal of supernatant diluted in 500µl PBS with 0.5% Triton-X-100. After homogenization (syringes with needle ø 0.4 mm, Braun) and centrifugation (at 13,000 rpm in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 15 min) supernatants were taken to new tubes and pellets were discarded. Supernatants were diluted in 500 µl PBS with protease inhibitor coctail and preincubated with 50 µl of protein A-sepharose-slurry for 30 min with constant agitation. After centrifugation (at 2,000 rpm in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 10 min) supernatants were taken to new tubes and incubated with antibodies (end volume 1 ml, for antibody against RRXpS/pT - end concentration 7.5 µg/ml) overnight at 4°C with constant agitation. Then, both samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with 50 µl of protein A-sephahose-slurry for the immunoprecipitation. After centrifugation (at 2,000 rpm in table centrifuge for 1 min) precipitates were taken into 2 Ultra-free-MC tubes, washed three times in 200 µl PBS with 0.2% Triton-X-100 and once in 200 µl PBS. Precipitates were eluted in 50 µl 1x Laemmlsi buffer at 95°C for 5 min. After centrifugation (at 13,000 rpm in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 5 min) eluates were ready to be analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

2.2.4 Methods of molecular biology
2.2.4.1 Genotyping
2.2.4.1.1 Isolation of genomic DNA

Genomic DNA for genotyping was isolated from murine tissues with “High Pure PCR Isolation Kit” (Roche Molecular Biochemicals) according to instructions of manufacturer.

2.2.4.1.2 PCR

PCR was performed with PCR device “Mastercycler Gradient” (Eppendorf) and documented with “ChemiDoc” (BioRad).

25µl reaction volume for cGKI
2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (Invitrogen)
1.5µl 50mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} (Invitrogen)
2µl 2.5 mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)
0.3µl RF125 (10 pmol/µl) - primer
0.3µl RF53 (20 pmol/µl) - primer
0.4µl RF118 (25 pmol/µl) - primer
75ng DNA
0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase

**PCR program for cGKI**

94°C/5min
94°C/10sec, 55°C/45sec, 72°C/30sec, 35 cycles
72°C/5min
4°C/∞

25µl reaction volume for Npr2

2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg²⁺ (Invitrogen)
0.75µl 50mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen)
2µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)
GC_B1 2µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer
GC_B2 2µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer
75ng DNA
0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase

**PCR program for Npr2**

94°C/5min
94°C/30sec, 62°C/20sec, 72°C/30sec, 35 cycles
72°C/5min
4°C/∞

PCR product was restricted with AflIII (NEB) at 37°C and electrophoresed on 3% agarose gel. Recognition site of AflIII ACRYGT is present in mutant cn allele, therefore, PCR product is restricted. In wild type Npr2 allele ACLYGT sequence cannot be cut by AflIII.

25µl reaction volume for CNP

2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg²⁺ (Invitrogen)
0.75µl 50mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen)
2µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)
GT_lbab_UP2 0.2µl (100 pmol/µl) - primer
GT_lbab_LP2 0.2µl (100 pmol/µl) - primer
75ng DNA
0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase

PCR program for CNP
95°C/3min
94.5°C/35sec, 60°C/20sec, 72°C/20sec, 35 cycles
72°C/10min
4°C/∞

PCR product was restricted with Eco47I (Fermentas) at 37°C and electrophoresed on 3% agarose gel. Recognition site of Eco47I GGWCC is present in wild type CNP allele, therefore, PCR product is restricted. In mutant lbab allele GGWCG sequence cannot be cut by Eco47I.

25µl reaction volume for VASP wild type
2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg²⁺ (Invitrogen)
0.75µl 50mM MgCl₂ (Invitrogen)
0.5µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)
GIK 269 0.75µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer
GIK 270 0.75µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer
75ng DNA
0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase

PCR program for VASP (wild type and knock-out)
94°C/3min
94°C/45sec, 60°C/35sec, 72°C/1min, 35 cycles
72°C/10min
4°C/∞
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25µl reaction volume for Mena wild type</td>
<td>2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg(^{2+}) (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>0.5µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>me_F3 0.75µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer me_in2_R2 0.75µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer 75ng DNA 0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25µl reaction volume for Mena knock-out</td>
<td>2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg(^{2+}) (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>0.5µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>pGT0Lxf_R2 0.5µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer me_F3 0.75µl (10 pmol/µl) - primer 75ng DNA 0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PCR program for Mena (wild type and knock-out)**

94°C/3min
94°C/45sec; 56°C/35sec; 72°C/90sec; 32 cycles
72°C/10min
4°C/∞

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25µl reaction volume for GFP/YFP</td>
<td>2.5µl 10xPCR buffer, minus Mg(^{2+}) (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>1µl 2.5mM dNTP mix (Invitrogen)</td>
<td>oIMR0872 (10 pmol/µl) - primer oIMR1416 (10 pmol/µl) - primer oIMR0042 (10 pmol/µl) - primer oIMR0043 (10 pmol/µl) - primer 75ng DNA 0.25 µl Taq DNA polymerase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PCR program for GFP/YFP**

94°C/1.5min
94°C/30sec, 60°C/1min, 72°C/1min, 35 cycles
72°C/2min
4°C/∞
2.2.5 2D electrophoresis

2-D electrophoresis is a powerful and widely used method for the analysis of complex protein mixtures. The technique sorts proteins according to two independent properties in two discrete steps: the first-dimension step, isoelectric focusing (IEF), separates proteins according to their isoelectric points (pI). The second-dimension step, SDS-PAGE, separates proteins according to their subunit molecular masses (MW). Each spot on the resulting two-dimensional array corresponds to a single protein species in the sample. Single spots eluted or transferred from 2-D gels can be rapidly identified.

2.2.5.1 Sample preparation

The protein fraction to be loaded on a 2-D gel must be present in a low ionic strength denaturing buffer that maintains the native charges of proteins and keeps them soluble. Salt is the major cause of poor focusing in isoelectric focusing (IEF) and increases focusing time, as proteins will migrate to their pI only after the ions have moved out of the strips. Proteins were concentrated and desalted by methanol chloroform precipitation (Wessel and Flugge, 1984). Four volumes of methanol, four volumes of chloroform and three volumes of water are added to one volume of protein solution. Mixture is vortexed after addition of each component and centrifuged at 4,000 rpm in Heraeus centrifuge for 5 min. Upper phase is removed. Three volumes of methanol are added to lower phase and interphase, mixture is vortexed and again centrifuged at 4,000 rpm in Heraeus centrifuge to pellet protein. Supernatant is removed and pelleted protein is air-dried. It can be stored at -20°C. Pellet is resuspended in lysis buffer before resolving it by 2D gel electrophoresis.

2.2.5.2 First–dimension isoelectric focusing (IEF)

For 7 cm IPG strip 125 µl sample volume, for 17 cm strip – 300 µl sample volume are loaded, respectively. In case protein sample is too concentrated it can be diluted with rehydration buffer. Sample is pipetted as a line along the back edge of a channel in rehydration tray. ReadyStrip IPG strip is gently placed, gel side down, onto the sample. It is left for approximately 30 min until most of the liquid has been absorbed by the strip. Then it is overlaid with 0.5-1 ml mineral oil to prevent evaporation during the rehydration process. Rehydration tray is covered with the plastic lid provided and left in the Protean IEF Cell
(BioRad) overnight (11-16 h) on passive rehydration program. After rehydration wet wicks are placed at both ends of wire electrodes and focusing program is started.

**Focusing program for 7 cm strip**
1) 250V for 30 min
2) slow ramp from 250V to 400 V in 30min
3) slow ramp from 400V to 3500 V in 1 hour 50 min
4) 3500 V for 1 hours 30 min

**Focusing program for 17 cm strip**
1) slow ramp from 0V to 300V in 30 min
2) 300V for 30 min
3) slow ramp from 300V to 500 V in 1 hour
4) 500 V for 1 hour
5) slow ramp from 500V to 3500 V in 1 hour 30 min
6) 3500 V for 4 hours 30 min

The current was maintained at 50µA/IPG strip. Focusing was performed at 20°C.

### 2.2.5.3 Second-dimension SDS-PAGE

Prior to running the second dimension, it is necessary to equilibrate the IPG strip in a buffer that contains SDS. Mineral oil is removed from IPG strip by placing it (gel side up) onto a piece of dry filter paper. IPG strip (gel side up) is placed to the equilibration tray containing 10 ml equilibration buffer I containing DTT. The tray is placed on a shaker and gently shaken for 15 min. Afterwards IPG strip is immersed in 10 ml equilibration buffer II containing iodoacetamide. The tray is again shaken for 15 min. IPG strip is dipped briefly into the 1x SDS electrode buffer laid onto the back of the SDS-PAGE gel using a spatula to push carefully the IPG strip into the well. To ensure good contact between the gel and the IPG strip, it is overlaid with separating gel with the same acrylamide concentration but four times more APS and Temed as it was used preparing the SDS-PAGE gel. The gel is loaded into the electrophoresis cell and the electrophoresis is begun as usually. After second dimension SDS-PAGE gels were either blotted or stained with silver staining.
3 RESULTS
3.1 Analysis of the role of the cGMP signalling components acting upstream of the cGKI in the bifurcation of sensory axons

3.1.1 Branching of sensory axons in cGKI knock-out mice analysed by DiI tracing

3.1.1.1 Bifurcation of sensory axons is impaired in the absence of cGKI

Previous studies based on whole-mount anti-neurofilament staining (Schaffer, 2005) and injection of the lipophilic tracer DiI into DRG neurons (Schmidt et al., 2002) have shown that the guidance of sensory axons at the dorsal root entry zone of the spinal is impaired in cGKI knock-out mice – most likely by a lack of bifurcations. Both studies have investigated the erroneous growth of sensory axons by a bulk labelling method which did not allow the analysis of axonal pathfinding on the level of a single axon.

![DiI labelling at the DREZ](image)

**Fig. 3.1: Single axon tracing by DiI labelling at the DREZ.** (A) spinal cord with attached DRG is dissected from E12-E13 mouse embryo. (B) A tip of a pipette pulled from a capillary is coated with DiI - it is soaked in DiI solution and then it is kept under a bulb light until ethanol evaporates and DiI crystals are left on the tip of the pipette. Dissected spinal cord is placed under binocular microscope and every second or third DRG is touched by a DiI coated pipette thereby leaving some DiI crystals on DRG. (C) After 24 hours, when DiI diffused along the membranes of labelled cells, spinal cord is examined under the microscope. Labelled cells
can be observed all the way from the cell body in DRG up to axonal bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone. (D) E12 embryos in uterus and two embryos which are already dissected from the uterus. (E) Spinal cord with attached DRG and tip of the pipette coated with DiI under binocular microscope.

In order to examine the bifurcation error on a single axon level I improved the DiI labelling method. I tried to inject DiI solution in ethanol into embryonic DRG cells observed under the microscope using the pipette pulled from a capillary which was navigated by a micromanipulator. This was a labour consuming method which did not result in desired quality of sensory axon staining. Another approach – to label embryonic DRG with crystals left on the needle tip, pulled from a glass capillary, after evaporation of the ethanol proved to be much better (Fig. 3.1). Glass needle was soaked in DiI solution in ethanol and was rotated under a lamp for several minutes until the ethanol has evaporated. DRG hanging on both sides of dissected embryonic spinal cord were touched with the tip of the needle and thereby minute DiI crystals (usually not visible with naked eye) were left on DRG. This method allowed to observe single axons labelled by DiI.

Application of minute DiI crystals at the DRG surface resulted in a labelling of small number of sensory neurons (Fig.3.2) allowing the analysis of single axons. DiI labelling of DRG in wild-type mouse embryos revealed axons extending through the dorsal root and forming regular T- or Y-shaped branches (Fig.3.2 B,D,F,H) at the dorsal root entry zone. In contrast, in cGKI knock-out mouse embryos only turns in either rostral or caudal direction are observed instead (Fig.3.2 C,E,G,I). Sensory axons of heterozygous mice bifurcate in a pattern indistinguishable from wild-type mice.

Single bifurcations and turns observed in a dorso-lateral view of whole mount spinal cord preparations were quantified. In wild-type and heterozygous mice 95% of all quantified sensory axons bifurcate whereas in cGKI knock-out mice only 3% are bifurcating, the rest 97% turn either rostrally or caudally. Figure 3.3 summarizes quantification results of turns and bifurcations at cervical, thoracic, lumbar and caudal levels of spinal cord. No statistically significant difference in axonal behaviour was observed at these different spinal levels.
Fig. 3.2: Bifurcation error in cGKI knock-out mice on the single axon level. (A) Schematic illustration of microscopic analysis of sensory axons. Spinal cord is flattened between two cover slips and observed under the microscope from the dorsal side. At the border where sensory axons reach dorsal root entry zone bifurcations are observed. (B,D,F,H) Sensory axons labelled in wild-type embryos bifurcate at the DREZ. (C,E,G,I) Sensory axons labelled in cGKI knock-out embryos fail to bifurcate. (B-C) are overview pictures showing DRG with the cell bodies of sensory neurons and axons extending towards the spinal cord and finally bifurcating or turning either caudally or rostrally at the dorsal root entry zone. Lateral diffusion of the lipophilic tracer DiI after local application allows the visualization of axonal trajectories. Scale bar: (B-C) 100 μm; (D-I) 50 μm. SC – spinal
cord; DRG – dorsal root ganglion. Arrowheads indicate bifurcation points. In all pictures dorsal side is to the top and ventral to the bottom. E12-E14 mouse embryos were analysed.

![Graph showing quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns at different levels of the spinal cord in wild-type (WT), heterozygous (HT), or cGKI knock-out (KO) mice.](image)

**Fig. 3.3:** Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns at different levels of the spinal cord in wild-type (WT), heterozygous (HT), or cGKI knock-out (KO) mice. The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels for each genotype. Percentage values of axonal bifurcations and turns are shown in the graph and indicated in the table. The quantification was performed blind with regard to the genotype. 25 wild-type, 17 cGKI knock-out and 33 heterozygous embryos, E12-E14, were analysed.

Sensory axons in cGKI knock-out mice turn only in caudal or rostral direction. Quantification of the turning behaviour indicated a slight preference for rostral turns (Table 3.1.). 58.5% of all turning axons in cGKI knock-out mice turn to the rostral side and 41.5% - to the caudal side. This difference in turning direction is statistically significant at \( p \) value of 0.05 (binomial test).

**Table 3.1:** Quantification of axonal turn directions in E12-E14 cGKI knock-out mouse embryos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caudal turns</th>
<th>Rostral turns</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.1.2 Sensory axons are still able to generate collateral branches in the absence of cGKI

Sensory axons display several modes of branching. They bifurcate rostrocaudally thereby generating two branches. After this each of the branches extends collateral branches into the spinal grey matter: nociceptive collaterals stay in the superficial region of the dorsal horn while proprioceptive collaterals grow ventrally to the ventral horn (Ozaki and Snider, 1997). Interestingly, in contrast to the almost complete inability to bifurcate, sensory axons of cGKI knock-out mice are still able to generate collateral branches as detected in DiI labelled sensory axons (Fig. 3.4).

Fig. 3.4: Collateral branches are generated in cGKI knock-out mice. (A-B) Collateral branches (arrowheads) observed on DiI labelled axons of wild-type mouse embryos. (C-D) Collateral branches (arrowheads) in cGKI knock-out mouse embryos. Scale bar: (A-D) 50 µm.
Fig. 3.5: Schematic illustration of measurement of distances between adjacent collaterals. Sensory neuron is coloured in red. A red continuous line represents turning axon in cGKI knock-out mouse whereas continuous line together with dashed red line represent bifurcated sensory axon in wild type mouse. Distances between the origin points of two adjacent collaterals, (b) and (c), were measured. Based on such definition measurement of distance (d) would be wrong, because it is a distance between the distal parts of adjacent collaterals and not their origin points. Distances (a) and (e) do not meet the definition because they are not distances between two adjacent collaterals - (a) is a distance between the end point of the axon and the collateral, (e) is a distance between the turning/bifurcation point of the axon and the collateral. Swellings on the stem axon were not considered as collaterals, although there is an opinion that swelling shows where collateral will sprout (Ozaki and Snider, 1997). Measurements were carried out manually using magnification microscope objective lens with a ruler model partition eyepiece. Even adjacent collaterals are not always on the same plane as observed under the microscope and different focus is necessary for each of them, therefore, manual measurement, rather than processing of pictures with software was chosen. Distances between adjacent collaterals were measured in E14 embryos.

In the cGKI knock-out mice a DRG neuron develops only one longitudinal axon stem instead of two. Since collaterals are generated from the longitudinal axon stems and there is only half of them in the cGKI knock-out mouse compared to the wild-type mouse, there should be also twice less collateral branches in the cGKI knock-out mouse. Indeed, reduced amount of sensory collaterals in cGKI deficient mouse embryo compared to wild type mouse embryo was shown by anti-trkA (trkA is expressed by nociceptive neurons) staining in transverse spinal cord sections (Schmidt et al., 2002). To analyse whether the impairment of sensory axon bifurcation in the cGKI knock-out mouse leads to less collateral branches generated from longitudinal axon stems or there is some compensation mechanism turned on, I quantified the distance between collateral origin points in the cGKI knock-out and their sibling wild type E14 mouse embryos and compared the results (Table 3.2).
Table 3.2: Measurement of distances between origin points of neighbouring collateral branches in cGKI knock-out and wild-type mouse embryos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of examined embryos</th>
<th>Number of examined axons</th>
<th>Number of measured distances</th>
<th>Average distance, µm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cGKI KO animals</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT animals</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distances between the collateral origins on the longitudinal stem axon were reduced in cGKI deficient mouse embryos compared to their wild type littermates (Fig. 3.4). This reduction was statistically significant at p value 0.001 (Mann-Whitney U test).

Fig. 3.6: Frequency cumulation plot for distances between adjacent collaterals in cGKI knock-out and wild-type mouse embryos. Only distances >5 µm are included. Distances between two collateral origin points were quantified in microscopic view fields of E14 mouse embryos.

3.1.2 Branching of sensory axons in mice lacking functional Npr2 (cn/cn) analysed by Dil tracing

3.1.2.1 Bifurcation of sensory axons is impaired in mice lacking functional Npr2

cGMP is generated from GTP by guanylyl cyclases. There are two forms of guanylyl cyclases: plasma membrane guanylyl cyclases from which 7 genes are known in mammals and soluble guanylyl cyclases that are activated by nitric oxide. The latter is a heterodimer composed of two different subunits α and β (Lucas et al., 2000). H. Schmidt showed by RT-PCR that guanylyl cyclase E and natriuretic peptide receptor 2 (Npr2, also known as NprB or GC-B) are expressed in DRG, however, in situ hybridisation confirmed presence of Npr2
only in DRG (Schmidt et al., 2007). Interestingly, it is expressed in a pattern overlapping with that of cGKIα in embryonic DRG. Therefore, Npr2 looked like a most likely candidate to produce cGMP in DRG.

To test whether Npr2 is involved in sensory axon bifurcation I labelled DRG of the spontaneous Npr2 loss of function mutant cn/cn with the lipophilic tracer DiI, as described for cGKI knock-out mice. Due to a point mutation that leads to the substitution of a highly conserved hydrophobic residue leucine with the hydrophylic residue arginine in the guanylyl cyclase domain of Npr2, Npr2 is no longer able to generate cGMP (Tsuji and Kunieda, 2005).

DiI labelling of sensory axons in cn/cn mouse embryos revealed the same bifurcation error that was observed in cGKI knock-out mouse embryos. Sensory axons in the wild-type mouse embryos bifurcated after reaching the dorsal root entry zone, whereas in cn/cn mouse embryos they turned either rostrally or caudally (Fig. 3.7). Sensory axons of heterozygous mouse embryos bifurcated and looked like axons of wild-type mouse embryos. Quantification of all detected bifurcations and turns confirmed initial observations – 99 % of sensory axons observed in wild-type mice and only 4 % - in cn/cn mice were bifurcating (Fig. 3.8).

Fig. 3.7: Bifurcation error in cn/cn mice shown on a single axon level. (A-B) Sensory axons labelled with Dil bifurcate at the DREZ of wild type embryos. Arrowheads point at the bifurcation points. (C-D) Sensory
axons labelled in cn/cn embryos fail to bifurcate and turn either in rostral or caudal direction. Scale bar: (A-D) 50 μm. E12-E14 mouse embryos were analysed.

As in cGKI knock-out mice a preference for rostral turns was observed in cn/cn mice (table 3.3). While 57 % of all turns were directed rostrally only 43 % were in caudal direction. However, in contrast to cGKI knock-out mice, the difference between amount of axons turning caudally and rostrally in cn/cn mice is not statistically significant (p>0.05, binomial test), therefore, the results should be treated cautiously.

Taken together, the identical quantitative and qualitative phenotypes of cGKI knock-out and cn/cn mice suggests that both cGKI and Npr2 act in the same cGMP signalling cascade.

**Table 3.3: Quantification of turn directions in cn/cn mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caudal turns</th>
<th>Rostral turns</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cn/cn</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.8: Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns at different levels of the spinal cord in wild-type (WT), heterozygous (HT), or cn/cn mice. The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels for each genotype. Percentage values of bifurcations and turns which are shown in the form of percentage graph are given in the table below the graph. The quantification was performed blind with regard to the genotype. 13 wild-type, 8 cn/cn and 13 heterozygous embryos E12-E14 were analysed.
3.1.2.2 Double heterozygous mice for cGKI and Npr2 do not show any defect in sensory axon bifurcation

Analysis of mice lacking cGKI or mutant for Npr2 led to the conclusion that both proteins are a part of the same signalling cascade. Mice heterozygous for cGKI allele or for functional Npr2 allele do not show defects in sensory axon bifurcation, mutations are recessive. If recessive mutations occur in two genes whose products interact, it is conceivable that a double heterozygote for the two mutations might show a phenotype, whereas heterozygotes for either mutation alone would be wild-type (Lawrence S.B., 1994).

Npr2 receptor is a dimer. Mouse heterozygous for Npr2 would produce only 50% of wild-type Npr2 monomers, but only half of them will dimerize with another wild-type monomer, so heterozygous mouse will have only 25% of functional Npr2 receptors. Respectively, less cGMP will be produced by a reduced number of functional Npr2. In double heterozygous mice, in addition to restricted amount of cGMP, reduced amount of cGKI is available for activation by cGMP. This double restriction could lead to insufficient cGMP signalling resulting in errors of sensory axon bifurcation, which would confirm that Npr2 and cGKI act in the same pathway regulating sensory axon bifurcation.

To determine whether double heterozygous mice for both cGKI and functional Npr2 show any defects in sensory axon bifurcation I analysed these axons by Dil labelling, as described for cGKI mice. Analysis revealed no difference between wild type and double heterozygous mice in respect to axonal bifurcation. As figure 3.9 demonstrates, sensory axons in these mice bifurcate. Quantification of bifurcations and turns indicates that 93 % of all observed axons bifurcate. Quantification was carried out at different trunk levels and no significant differences were observed (Fig. 3.10). Comparison with heterozygous mice Npr2/cn and cGKI+/− shows that double heterozygous mice do not differ from heterozygous mice in regard to sensory axon bifurcation (Fig. 3.11).
Fig. 3.9: Sensory axons of mice heterozygous for both cGKI and Npr2 alleles do not have the bifurcation error. (A-B) sensory axons labelled with DiI in double heterozygous embryos bifurcate and do not differ from single heterozygous mice or wild type mice. Scale bar: (A-B) 50 μm. E12-E13 mouse embryos were analysed.

Fig. 3.10: Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns at different levels of the spinal cord in double heterozygous mouse (cGKI +/- / Npr2/cn). The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels. The table shows the percentage values for bifurcations and turns at different trunk levels. There are no differences between different trunk levels, almost all of observed sensory axons bifurcate. 12 double heterozygous embryos (cGKI +/- / Npr2/cn), E12-E13, were analysed.
Fig. 3.11: Quantification of Dil-labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns in double heterozygous embryos (cGKI +/- / Npr2/cn) and in embryos heterozygous either for cGKI or for functional Npr2 does not reveal any significant difference. The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels. The table shows the percentage values for bifurcations and turns for different genotypes.

This analysis shows that double heterozygous mice for cGKI and functional Npr2 genes do not differ from single heterozygous mutant and have a wild type phenotype, suggesting that cGMP signalling constricted both on cGMP generation level and cGKI level is still sufficient to elicit axonal bifurcation. Hypothetically, if cGMP generated by reduced number of functional Npr2 in mutant mice activates less than a half of wild-type amount of cGKI then amount of cGMP is a restricting factor in cGMP signalling. However, this number of cGKI activated by a reduced number of cGMP molecules is sufficient to elicit bifurcation, as it is evident from Npr2/cn mouse. If cGMP generated by a reduced number of Npr2 is able to activate more than half of wild-type amount of cGKI, then amount of cGKI becomes a restricting factor. However, half of wild-type amount of cGKI molecules are enough for sensory axon bifurcation, as it is apparent from cGKI heterozygous mouse. Thus, a double heterozygous mouse most likely has the same restricting factor as either of the two single heterozygous mice. The situation would be aggravated if one of the heterozygotes would have a mutant cGKI molecule which binds cGMP but does not become activated and thereby confines cGMP molecules. In this case double heterozygous would have a lower cGMP concentration compared to Npr2 mutant mouse.
3.1.2.3 Absence of functional Npr2 does not effect generation of collateral branches

In cn/cn mouse absence of sensory axon bifurcations, as in cGKI knock-out mouse, has been observed. Although bifurcation of sensory axons is impaired in cn/cn mice but their interstitial branching is not affected (Fig. 3.12). I measured the distances between the origin points of adjacent collaterals to find out whether there is some compensation mechanism triggered in cn/cn mice to overcome reduced number of longitudinal stem axons from which collaterals sprout. The distance between collateral origin points is reduced in cn/cn mice and this reduction is statistically significant (p < 0.02, Mann-Whitney U test) – the same phenomenon that was observed in the cGKI knock-out mouse (Table 3.4). Reduced distance between collaterals is also shown by the frequency cumulation plot of distance lengths (Fig. 3.13)

The average distance between adjacent collaterals between cGKI knock-out and cn/cn mice differs substantially. Both mutant mice have different genetic background - cGKI mice are on a 129/Sv while cn/cn mice on an AKR/J genetic background. Distances between adjacent collaterals in wild type mice on these two background differ substantially as well, therefore, results for both mouse strains (cGKI knock-out and cn/cn) should be considered separately and should not be compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.4: Measurement of distances between neighbouring collateral branches in cn/cn and littermate wild-type mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of examined embryos</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cn/cn animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT animals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3.12: Collateral branches are generated in cn/cn mice. (A-B) Collateral branches (arrowheads) originating from DiI labelled axons of wild-type mice. (C-D) Collateral branches sprout (arrowheads) in cn/cn mice as well, although bifurcation in these mice is impaired. (A,C) Overview pictures. (B,D) Magnified collateral branches. Scale bar: (A-D) 50µm.

Fig. 3.13: Frequency cumulation plot for distances between two origin points of adjacent collaterals in cn/cn and wild-type mice. Only distances >5 µm are included. Distances between origin points of adjacent collaterals were measured in microscopic view fields of E14 mouse embryos.
3.1.3 Branching of sensory axons in mice lacking functional CNP (lbab) analysed by DiI tracing

3.1.3.1 Sensory axons of mice lacking functional CNP have the same bifurcation error as mice lacking cGKI or functional Npr2

Npr2 is activated by C-type natriuretic peptide (Koller et al., 1991), which suggests that CNP might play a role in the bifurcation of sensory axons acting upstream in the cGMP signalling cascade. I analysed an lbab/lbab (long bone abnormality) mouse to explore this hypothesis. This mouse has a point mutation in a gene encoding CNP leading to the amino acid substitution from arginine to glycine in a highly conserved ring structure of the peptide. Mutated CNP has a reduced ability to bind and activate Npr2 and as a result has reduced effect on increase of cGMP level (Tsuji et al., 2008; Yoder et al., 2008).

Indeed, our presumption about the role of CNP on the bifurcation of sensory axons has been confirmed after the analysis of sensory axons labelled with DiI. As Figure 3.14 shows wild-type mice have bifurcating sensory axons growing both in rostral and caudal directions whereas their littermates lbab/lbab mice lacking functional CNP have sensory axons which are unable to bifurcate, instead they choose only one direction to grow further after a turn – either rostral or caudal.

Fig. 3.14: Bifurcation error in lbab/lbab mice shown on a single axon level. (A-B) DiI labelled sensory axons in wild-type embryos bifurcate at the DREZ. (C-D) Sensory axons in lbab/lbab embryos fail to bifurcate and turn either in rostral or caudal direction. Scale bar: (A-D) 50 μm. E12-E13 mouse embryos were analysed.
Quantification of observed turns and bifurcations gives similar results as in the case of the cGKI knock-out and cn/cn mice. The vast majority of sensory axons, 95%, are bifurcating in wild-type mice whereas only 0.3% are bifurcating in lbab/lbab mice. There are no significant differences observed between different levels of the spinal cord (Fig. 3.15).

Again a preference for rostral turns was observed in lbab/lbab mice, as it was in other mice lacking a component of the cGMP pathway and accordingly having almost no bifurcating sensory axons (Table 3.5). However, difference in numbers of rostrally and caudally turning axons was not statistically significant (p<0.05, binomial test).

Fig. 3.15: Quantification of Dil-labelled bifurcations and turns at different levels of the spinal cord in wild-type (WT), heterozygous (HT), or lbab/lbab (lbab) mice. The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels for each genotype. Percentage values are given in the table below the percentage graph. The quantification was performed blind with regard to the genotype. 9 wild-type, 8 lbab/lbab and 11 heterozygous embryos E12-E13 were analysed.

Table 3.5: Quantification of turn directions in cn/cn mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caudal turns</th>
<th>Rostral turns</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lbab/lbab</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dil labelling revealed failure to bifurcate in cGMP signalling mutant embryos. Analysis of adult (2 months old) mice revealed whether the bifurcation defect recovers in postnatal stage. For this analysis a Thy-1-EYFP allele crossed into lbab/lbab mouse was used. A part of sensory neurons express EGFP in these mice which allows to follow their trajectories. Failure
to bifurcate persists in mature stage (Fig. 3.16). Graphic in figure 3.17 summarises results of quantified bifurcations and turns observed in 2 month old mice.

**Fig. 3.16:** Turns instead of bifurcations are observed in mature lbab/lbab mouse. (A) Bifurcating sensory axons expressing YFP in adult wild-type mouse crossed with Thy-1-YFP-H line. (B) Turns of sensory axons observed in Thy-1-YFP-H x lbab/lbab mouse. Scale bar: (A-B) 100µm.

**Fig. 3.17:** Bifurcation defect is found in postnatal stage of lbab/lbab mouse as well. The numbers of counted single axons are given in brackets for different trunk levels for each. Percentage values are given in the table below the percentage graph. 2 wild type and 3 lbab/lbab 2 month old animals crossed with Thy-1-YFP-H mice were analysed.
3.1.3.2 Generation of collateral branches is not impaired in the absence of functional CNP

As it was detected in other cGMP signalling mutant mice, only bifurcation of sensory axons is affected when a component of cGMP pathway is missing. Collateral branching is observed even in mice with defect cGMP signalling. Analysis of lbab/lbab mouse confirms this. Although sensory axons in these mice do not bifurcate collateral branching is not impaired. (Fig. 3.18)

Fig. 3.18: Collateral branches are generated in lbab/lbab mice. (A) Collateral branches (arrowheads) sprout from DiI labelled axons of wild-type mice. (B) Collateral branches (arrowheads) in lbab/lbab mice. Scale bar: (A-B) 50µm.
3.2 Search for bifurcating neurons outside the spinal cord

cGKI, a crucial protein for sensory axon bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone, is expressed outside the spinal cord as well. Expression of cGKI was confirmed in cerebellar Purkinje cells, the hippocampus, dorsomedial hypothalamus, deep cerebellar nuclei, cerebral cortex, subcommissural organ, several cranial nerves including N. trigeminus, olfactory bulb, pituitary gland, and retina (Feil, 2005; Schäffer, 2006). Another component of the cGMP signalling pathway involved in sensory axon bifurcation, Npr2, is expressed in hippocampus (granule and pyramidal cells), in pyramidal cells of V and VI layer in the neocortex, principal sensory and motor nuclei of the trigeminal nerve, in the cerebellum (Purkinje cell layer), in pituitary and pineal glands (Herman et al., 1996). However, distribution of mRNA encoding Npr2 has been described in the adult brain. CNP was detected in the embryonic cerebral cortex and pons (Cameron et al., 1996). Overlapping expression of cGMP signalling cascade components outside the spinal cord, in the cerebral cortex or hippocampus, suggests a possibility that cGMP signalling pathway might regulate neuronal bifurcation not only in the spinal cord but also in other regions of the nervous system. Therefore, I looked for bifurcating neuron populations outside the spinal cord and analysed them in wild-type and cGKI knock-out mice.

3.2.1 Dendrites of pyramidal neurons

Bifurcation is most often exhibited by dendrites (Acebes and Ferrus, 2000). Pyramidal neurons, abundant in most mammalian forebrain structures, including the cerebral cortex, the hippocampus and the amygdala, have basal and apical dendrites that bifurcate. Apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons bifurcate, sometimes several times, before building a tuft of branches at a variable distance from the soma. Basal dendrites are short and branch close to the soma (Fig. 3.19 A) (Bannister and Larkman, 1995; Larkman, 1991; Spruston, 2008). Although branching of pyramidal dendrites is often called bifurcation, it is used as a synonyme for branching rather than a definition of a special branching mode. Indeed, dendrites of pyramidal neurons split into two branches that remind Y-shaped bifurcation but there is no study describing whether such form of branching arises due to a growth cone splitting or due to collateral branching. Moreover, dendrites do not have such stereotyped branching behaviour like sensory axons: they split at a different distance to soma, sometimes several times, sometimes they do not split at all. On the one hand Y-shaped branches of dendrites and expression of cGKI and Npr2 by pyramidal cells in the cortex and the
hippocampus leads to an assumption that cGMP pathway might regulate bifurcation of pyramidal dendrites, but on the other hand branching behaviour of dendrites is not identical to bifurcation of sensory axons. I analysed the role of cGMP signalling in dendritic branching of pyramidal cells in the cortex and the hippocampus.

3.2.1.1 Apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons in cortex branch in the absence of cGKI

The bifurcation of apical dendrites of layer V pyramidal cells was analysed by crossing Thy-1-GFPM mice with cGKI knock-out mice. Only a small fraction of pyramidal cells is labelled in the Thy-1-GFPM mouse line, which allows to visualize single dendrites.

In contrast to sensory axon branching, the branching pattern of apical dendrites varied. First of all, not all apical dendrites bifurcated, secondly, bifurcations did not occur at the same level. Most apical dendrites bifurcated close to layer 2/3, but there were apical dendrites that bifurcated close to soma (Fig. 3.19 B). Some apical dendrites were lacking any bifurcation and apical tuft at all. In his detailed analysis of cortical pyramidal cell dendrites Larkman describes slender layer V pyramidal cells that have an apical dendrite which tapers to a fine diameter and terminates without forming a terminal arbour, before reaching layer 1 (Larkman, 1991). There were cells with an apical dendrite which tapered off without branching, but it was difficult to detect whether the tuft of the dendrite was removed by slicing cryosections or whether a dendrite did not form a tuft.

The most important finding from the analysis of P21 mice coronal cortex sections was that apical dendrites form Y-shaped branches both in cGKI knock-out and in wild-type mice (Fig. 3.19 C-D), suggesting that cGMP signalling does not regulate branching of apical dendrites in layer V pyramidal cells. Since optical observations did not reveal any changes in branching behaviour of apical dendrites of cortical pyramidal neurons in the absence of cGKI further detailed analysis of dendritic branching was not carried out.
Fig. 3.19: Apical dendrites of layer V pyramidal neurons in the cortex do not differ in cGKI knock-out and wild type mice. Mouse line Thy-1-GFPM was crossed with cGKI knock-out mouse. In coronal sections some of large pyramidal neurons expressing EGFP are observed. (A) Camera lucida drawing from a layer V neuron (Salin et al., 1995) (B) An overview picture of layer V pyramidal neurons in the cortex. (C) Magnified view of several bifurcations in pyramidal neuron apical dendrites of wild type mouse. (D) Magnified view of bifurcation in pyramidal neuron apical dendrite of cGKI knock-out mouse. Bars: (B-D) 100µm. PIA, pial surface. Three wild type and three cGKI knock out mice were analysed.

3.2.1.2 Apical and basal dendrites of pyramidal neurons in hippocampus branch in the absence of cGKI

The same Thy-1-GFPM mouse line was crossed with cGKI knock-out mouse line enabling analysis of pyramidal neuron dendrites in the hippocampus. Here, both apical and basal dendrites were analysed.

As in the cortex, apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus do not branch in a stereotyped pattern. Some of them were observed to bifurcate closer to the soma than others. CA3 pyramidal neurons are reported to bifurcate within stratum radiatum whereas most of CA1 pyramidal neurons usually traverse stratum radiatum, giving off oblique branches, before bifurcating to form an apical tuft (Bannister and Larkman, 1995;Spruston, 2008).
Bifurcations of apical dendrites were observed both in wild-type as well as in cGKI knock-out mice (Fig. 3.20 A-B).

Branching of basal dendrites occurs close to the soma forming shorter processes than apical dendrites. There are several basal dendritic trees emanating from the soma. Therefore, it is more difficult to observe their branching pattern compared to a single apical dendrite. Not all dendrites were observed to bifurcate. However, bifurcations of basal dendrites were observed both in wild-type and cGKI knock-out mice (Fig. 3.20 B-C). Though not quantitatively analysed, these results consolidate my previous assumption that bifurcation of pyramidal cell dendrites is not regulated by cGKI and most likely not by the other known components of the cGMP signalling cascade.

Fig. 3.20: Apical and basal dendrites in hippocampal pyramidal cells bifurcate both in cGKI knock-out and wild type mice. Coronal sections of hippocampus of GFP expressing wild-type and cGKI knock-out mice. In coronal sections GFP under Thy1 promotor expressing pyramidal cells in CA3 region of hippocampus are observed. (A) Apical dendrites in wild-type mouse bifurcate (bifurcation indicated by arrow). (B) Apical dendrites bifurcate in cGKI knock-out mouse as well. (C) Bifurcation of basal dendrites occurs in wild-type mouse. (D) Bifurcation pattern of basal dendrites in cGKI knock-out mouse does not differ from that in wild-type mouse. Bars: (A-D) 100µm.
3.2.2 Central projections of trigeminal ganglion

The trigeminal nerve is the largest of the cranial nerves. Its name derives from the fact that it has three major branches: the ophthalmic nerve, the maxillary nerve, and the mandibular nerve. The three branches converge on the trigeminal ganglion that contains the cell bodies of incoming sensory nerve fibers. The trigeminal ganglion is analogous to the dorsal root ganglia of the spinal cord, which contain the cell bodies of incoming sensory fibers from the rest of the body. From the trigeminal ganglion, a single large sensory root enters the brainstem at the level of the pons (Fig. 3.21 A-C). Central trigeminal axons fasciculated into one sensory root bifurcate upon entry into brainstem and lay down the ascending and descending components of the trigeminal tract (Fig. 3.21 A). This happens around embryonic day 13 in mouse. Between E13 and E16, central trigeminal axons grow without any collateral formation which starts later (Erzurumlu and Jhaveri, 1995; Hasegawa et al., 2007; Ulupinar et al., 1999; Ulupinar et al., 2000).

Since sensory axons extending from dorsal root ganglia and from trigeminal ganglion have functional similarities (both transmit sensory information to the brain), anatomical similarities (cell bodies are located in ganglia, axons enter spinal cord or brainstem where they bifurcate and after a waiting period send collaterals deeper into spinal cord or brainstem) and both express cGKI one could expect that bifurcation of trigeminal sensory axons is also regulated by cGMP dependent pathway. To test this hypothesis, I analysed DiI labelled trigeminal sensory axons of E13 cGKI knock-out and wild-type mice.

In wild type mice sensory axons bifurcate after reaching the pons and two branches formed by bifurcation grow in opposite directions forming ascending and descending trigeminal tracts (Fig. 3.21 D). In cGKI knock-out mice sensory axons do not bifurcate in the lateral pons region, they turn either towards spinal cord and form descending trigeminal tract or turn towards cortex and form ascending trigeminal tract (Fig. 321 E). Quantification of observed bifurcations and turns is presented in the table 3.6.
Fig. 3.21: DiI labelled trigeminal sensory axons bifurcate upon reaching the brainstem in wild-type mice but fail to bifurcate in cGKI knock-out mice. Trigeminal ganglia in sagital vibrosections of E13 cGKI knock-out and wild-type mice were labelled with DiI and projections of sensory axons were analysed. (A) Schematic illustration of trigeminal neuron. Trigeminal cell bodies are in trigeminal ganglion, from here trigeminal axons grow in the direction of pons and after reaching it bifurcate producing ascending and descending axonal tracts. (adjusted from (Ulupinar et al., 2000)) (B) Sagital section of mouse brain (Schambra et al., 1991). The illustration is in the same direction as previous schematic drawing. Locations of trigeminal ganglion and pons are indicated. (C) Trigeminal ganglion is easily detectable under the light microscope. DiI labelling of trigeminal ganglion was carried out touching the ganglion with capillary pipette coated with tiny DiI crystals under the light microscope. White dashed lines mark the borders of pons and trigeminal ganglion. White dotted line represents trigeminal axon pathway. Boxed area marks the area of the photos of DiI labelled axons that are presented below (D-E). (D) DiI labelled trigeminal sensory neurons bifurcate (arrowheads) in the pons and form ascending and descending tracts. (E) DiI labelled trigeminal sensory neurons turn either towards ascending or descending tract. *Bars: (B) 100µm; (C-D) 50µm. SC – spinal cord; VG – trigeminal ganglion; C – cortex; Dtr – descending tract; Atr – ascending tract. Direction in all pictures is anterior (A) to the left side and posterior (P) to the right side.*

**Table 3.6:** Quantification of bifurcating and turning trigeminal axons in wild type and cGKI knock-out embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of embryos</th>
<th>Caudal turns (in posterior direction)</th>
<th>Rostral turns (in anterior direction)</th>
<th>Bifurcations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGKI knock-out</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Analysis of the role of known or putative phosphorylation targets of cGKI in the bifurcation of sensory axons

Analysis of mutant mice described in previous chapters demonstrated that sensory axon bifurcation is regulated by cGMP signalling. CNP was found to be an upstream activator of Npr2, which generates cGMP, and cGMP activates cGKI. All three cGMP signalling components - CNP, Npr2 and cGKI - are crucial for the sensory axon bifurcation. Downstream target(s) of cGKI that would play a role in sensory axon bifurcation are not known yet. I addressed this question using two different approaches: I searched for new phosphorylation targets of cGKI (described in chapter 3.4) and I analysed known phosphorylation targets of cGKI in regard to their role in sensory axon bifurcation.

cGKI is a well studied kinase having many known, described phosphorylation targets (for a review see (Hofmann et al., 2009;Schlossmann and Desch, 2009)). Moreover it is known that some phosphorylation targets of cGKI are important in different cell types, e.g. BK$_{Ca}$ in kidney is implicated in contractility of mesangial cells, in reproductive system it plays a major role in penile erection, and in Purkinje cells BK$_{Ca}$ is critical factor for cell activity (Schlossmann and Desch, 2009). Hence, I decided to analyse some known phosphorylation targets of cGKI that could play a role in sensory axon bifurcation. First of all I chose to analyse those phosphorylation targets that were known to regulate cytoskeleton because branching of axons involves cytoskeleton rearrangement.

3.3.1 Analysis of the role of Mena and VASP proteins in sensory axon bifurcation

Vasodilator stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) and mammalian enabled (Mena) together with Ena-VASP like protein (EVL) belong to the Ena/VASP protein family. Members of this family are involved in actin dynamics and cell motility. They can bind directly to both monomeric and filamentous actin and promote in vitro actin filament nucleation, bundling and elongation in the presence of capping proteins. Phosphorylation of Ena/VASP proteins makes them sensitive to capping proteins. All Ena/VASP proteins can be phosphorylated by cAMP dependent kinase. (Breitsprecher et al., 2008;Krause et al., 2002;Krause et al., 2003;Kwiatkowski et al., 2003)
3.3.1.1 Absence of VASP does not have an effect on sensory axon bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone

VASP is the only Ena/VASP family member shown to be phosphorylated not only by PKA but by cGKI as well. Most of studies on VASP and its phosphorylation were carried out in human platelets (Halbrugge and Walter, 1989). Our group looked whether VASP is phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG as well. The findings showed that indeed, VASP became phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGK in embryonic DRG of wild-type mice but not in embryonic DRG of cGKI knock-out mice, indicating that VASP was phosphorylated by cGKI (Schäffer, 2005).

Since VASP is a downstream target of cGKI and is known to play a role in actin dynamic processes it was a promising candidate to be involved in sensory axon bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone. In order to test this, I analysed DiI labelled sensory axons in VASP knock-out mouse embryos. However, DiI labelling revealed that absence of VASP does not have any effect on sensory axon bifurcations. As figure 3.22 shows, sensory axons in VASP knock-out mouse embryos do not have any abnormal growth pattern at the dorsal root entry zone. Quantification of bifurcating and turning sensory axons also indicates that there is no bifurcation error in VASP knock-out mouse embryos: 98.8% of all observed axons bifurcate and only 1.2% turn either rostrally or caudally (Fig. 3.23). This allows to conclude that either VASP does not play any role in sensory axon bifurcation despite the fact, that it is phosphorylated by cGKI, or that other members of Ena/VASP family can replace VASP and carry out its functions.

Fig. 3.22: Sensory axons in VASP knock-out mice bifurcate. (A) Overview picture of bifurcating sensory axons labelled with DiI in E12 VASP knock-out mouse embryo. (B) Higher magnification of single DiI labelled...
sensory axon bifurcation in VASP knock-out mouse embryo. Scale bar: (A-B) 50 μm. Bifurcation points are indicated by arrowheads.

![Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and turns in VASP knock-out mice](image)

**Fig. 3.23: Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and turns in VASP knock-out mice.** Percentage values are indicated in the table below the percentage graph. Number of observed sensory axons is given in the brackets. VASP knock-out mice are bred as homozygous mutants, therefore, all analysed littermates are knock-out and comparison with wild-type littermates is absent. 11 knock-out mouse embryos E13 were analysed.

### 3.3.1.2 Phosphorylation of Mena by cGKI in dorsal root ganglia

The three members of Ena/VASP family are highly related, have overlapping activities and might substitute each other, therefore, I analysed another member of the Ena/VASP family – Mena and its role in sensory axon bifurcation. Mena has three isoforms, the 140 kDa form produced by the alternate inclusion of an axon (the [+]/axon) and two other forms migrating at 80 and 88 kDa. In vitro translation of the Mena cDNA results in 80 kDa form, the most broadly expressed form of Mena. Translation of Mena cDNA from neural cells results in the 140 kDa Mena form. This Mena isoform, also called Mena (+), is enriched in the developing nervous system. No cDNA of Mena that would account for the 88 kDa isoform was found which suggests that it might be a posttranslationally modified form of Mena (Gertler et al., 1996; Lanier et al., 1999).

All Ena/VASP family members are phosphorylated by cAMP dependent kinase but only VASP was shown to be phosphorylated by cGKI as well (Halbrugge and Walter, 1989).
analysed whether Mena can be phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG. Phosphorylation shifts mobility of the 80kDa isoform of Mena to the slower migrating form (Gertler et al., 1996). I stimulated E13 C57/Bl6 mouse DRG with the cGMP analogue 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 minutes and analysed the phosphorylation of Mena. Anti-Mena Western blot with both stimulated and not stimulated DRG lysates shows (Fig. 3.25 A, C), that mobility of Mena shifts after the stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP which implies presence of phosphorylation.

![Figure 3.24: Phosphorylation of Mena by cGKI in DRG.](image)

(A) A part of anti-Mena Western blot analysis of lysates prepared from E13 C57/Bl6 mouse DRG with or without stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min at 37°C. Shift in mobility of 80 kDa Mena is observed after stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP indicating phosphorylation of Mena. (B) Quantification of band intensities from anti-Mena Western blot analyses of 3 independent preparations and statistical analysis. Amount of phosphorylated Mena rises more than twice after stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP. The table shows statistical indicators of Western blot evaluations. The difference in Mena phosphorylation between stimulated and not stimulated samples is significant at \( p=0.05 \) (Student’s t-test, two-tailed, heteroscedastic). (C) Anti-Mena Western blot analysis of E13 C57/Bl6 DRG lysates. In the whole blot not only 80 kDa band which changes its mobility after cGKI stimulation with 8-pCTPcGMP is observed but also the 140 kDa Mena isoform (both are encircled).
Quantification of ratios between intensities of phospho-Mena and Mena bands between unstimulated and stimulated samples shows that this ratio significantly increases (p=0.05, Student’s t-test) after stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP (Fig. 3.24 B) which suggests that Mena is phosphorylated by cGKI.

3.3.1.3 Absence of both Mena and VASP does not have an effect on sensory axon bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone

Both Mena and VASP can be phosphorylated by cGKI. Moreover, they are closely related proteins and might substitute each other. Such similarity of both proteins supports the assumption that even if VASP plays a role in sensory axon bifurcation, VASP knock-out mouse could have a normal phenotype regarding sensory axon bifurcation because absent VASP is substituted by Mena in knock-out mouse. In order to explore this hypothesis I analysed double Mena/VASP knock-out mice. These mice die perinatally and display defects in neurulation, craniofacial structures and the formation of several fiber tracts in the CNS and peripheral nervous system (Menzies et al., 2004). First, I analysed whole mount stainings with anti-neurofilament of E10 embryos in order to confirm the described abnormalities. Although DRG of double knock-out mice develop normally, the axons extending from DRG which comprise the sensory and spinal branches of spinal nerves do not converge at the forelimb level to form the branchial plexus as it can be observed in Figure 3.25.

I labelled sensory axons in embryos of Mena/VASP double knock-out mouse (E12) and analysed their growth pattern at the dorsal root entry zone. There is no sensory axon bifurcation error in mice lacking both Mena and VASP (Fig. 3.26). Almost 97% of all observed sensory axons bifurcate and only the rest 3% were observed turning either caudally or rostrally (Fig. 3.27). These results imply that Mena and VASP are not crucial for sensory axon bifurcation, although they are phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG.
**Fig. 3.25:** Spinal nerves in Mena/VASP knock-out mouse embryos fail to extend. (A) Overview picture of whole-mount wild-type mouse embryos (E10.5) anti-neurofilament staining. (B) Overview picture of whole-mount Mena/VASP mouse embryos (E10.5) anti-neurofilament staining. (C) Magnified view of box area in (A) where converged spinal nerves in wild-type mouse are observed. (D) Magnified view of boxed area in (B). Spinal nerves in Mena/VASP knock-out mouse have failed to extend. (E) Magnified view of boxed area in (D). Scale bar: (A-B) 100μm; (C-E) 50μm

**Fig. 3.26:** Sensory axons in Mena/VASP knock-out mice bifurcate. (A-B) Both pictures represent Dil labelled sensory axons of double Mena/VASP knock-out mouse embryos (E12). Sensory axons bifurcate (pointed with arrowheads) even in the absence of both Mena and VASP. Scale bar: 50 μm.
3.3.2 Analysis of the role of glycogen synthase kinase 3-β (GSK3-β) in sensory axon bifurcation

3.3.2.1 GSK3-β becomes phosphorylated in DRG and sensory axons of DRG but not in the DRG derived cell line F11 upon stimulation of cGKI

GSK-3 is a serine/threonine kinase originally identified as a kinase phosphorylating glycogen synthase, downstream of insulin signalling. Later it was shown that GSK-3 is a key downstream regulator in signalling pathways triggered by many extracellular cues including Wnts, NGF, EGF and hedgehog. GSK3 is involved in axon growth, branching since it regulates microtubule growth and stability phosphorylating many microtubule associated proteins such as tau, MAP1b, CRP-2 or APC. GSK3 has a high basal kinase activity. Inactivation is achieved by phosphorylation of Ser 9 (in GSK-3β isoform) or on Ser 21 (in GSK3-α isoform) (Cohen and Frame, 2001;Doble and Woodgett, 2003;Garrido et al., 2007;Kim et al., 2006;Zhou et al., 2004). Recently it has been shown that cGKI phosphorylates GSK3 in cultured rat DRG neurons (Zhao et al., 2009) and cGKII phosphorylates GSK3-β in murine chondrocytes (Kawasaki et al., 2008). The role of GSK3 in axonal growth and microtubule dynamics together with the fact that it is a downstream target of cGKI suggested that GSK3 might be a crucial downstream component of the cGMP signalling cascade regulating axon bifurcation.
Fig. 3.28: Phosphorylation of GSK3 upon cGKI stimulation in DRG and in F11 cells. (A) Anti-GSK3 and anti-phospho-GSK3β Western blot analysis of lysates made from E13 C57/Bl6 embryonic mouse DRG with or without stimulation with 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min. Both Western blot analyses were performed on the same nitrocellulose membrane using different secondary antibodies (goat anti rabbit HRP for anti-phospho-GSK3β and goat anti mouse AP for anti GSK3). Remarkable increase in intensity of phospho-GSK3β band is observed in DRG lysate after cGKI stimulation whereas no phosphorylation takes place in F11 cells. (B) Quantification of band intensities from 3 anti-GSK3 and anti-phospho-GSK3β Western blots and statistical analysis. There is a more than double increase in GSK3β phosphorylation in DRG after stimulation of cGK with 8-pCPTcGMP whereas there is no difference in GSK3β phosphorylation in stimulated and not stimulated F11 cells. (C) The table shows statistical indicators of Western blots evaluation. The difference in GSK3β phosphorylation between stimulated and not stimulated DRG samples is significant at p<0.02 (Student’s t-test, two-tailed, heteroscedastic) whereas there is no significant difference in GSK3β phosphorylation in F11 cells.

I analysed whether GSK-3 becomes phosphorylated in E13 mouse DRG upon stimulation of cGKI. Freshly isolated DRG from E13 embryos were stimulated for 5 min with the cGMP
analogue 8-pCPTcGMP. Lysates from control DRG and stimulated DRG were analysed by anti-p-GSK3-β(Ser9) and anti-GSK3 Western blots. Indeed, intensity of phospho-GSK3-β band significantly increases (p<0.05) after stimulation of cGKI in DRG (Fig. 3.28) which implies that cGKI phosphorylates GSK3-β.

I also tested whether GSK3-β is phosphorylated in the DRG derived cell line F11. The F11 cell line is a fusion product of mouse neuroblastoma cell line N18TG-2 with embryonic rat DRG neurons. F11 cells express cGKIα and cGKII as well as GSK3. However, although both cGKI and its alleged target are present, GSK3 is not phosphorylated in F11 after stimulation of cGKI. Fig. 3.28 A-B depicts similar phospho-GSK3-β band intensities in stimulated as well as unstimulated samples. This unexpected result could be explained in several ways: either GSK3-β is not a direct target of cGKI and some link protein which is missing in F11 cells is required or cGKI and GSK3-β are not able to get into a close contact because they are in different cell compartments in contrast to DRG.

Stimulation of cGKI in embryonic DRG results in GSK3-β phosphorylation. GSK3-β acts on microtubules and thereby regulates axonal growth and branching. If inactivation of GSK3-β upon cGKI stimulation would be related to cytoskeleton rearrangements and thereby to axonal bifurcation, it is most likely that GSK3-β would be phosphorylated in the sensory axons and their growth cones. However, when DRG are isolated sensory axons are cut and only part of them are included into DRG lysate. Majority of the material in the DRG lysate is constituted by cell bodies of DRG neurons but not by axons themselves. To determine whether GSK3-β phosphorylation takes place in the sensory axons I analysed anti-phospho-GSK3-β immunostainings of transverse sections of spinal cord that was stimulated with 8pCPTcGMP (fig. 3.29).

**Fig. 3.29: Phosphorylation of GSK3-β by cGKI in the spinal cord.** Immunostaining of transversal spinal cord sections with anti-phospho-GSK3β shows that after stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP not only GSK3β in DRG but especially in sensory axons of DRG that have reached dorsal root entry zone (indicated by
arrowheads) becomes phosphorylated. Ventral part of the spinal cord is recognized by anti-phospho-GSK3-β both in not stimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated spinal cord suggesting that phosphorylation and inactivation of GSK3-β in ventral part of spinal cord is independent and takes place before cGKI stimulation. DRG are indicated by asterisks. Scale bar: 100µm.

Immunostainings of transverse spinal cord sections with anti-phospho-GSK3-β disclosed that GSK3-β becomes phosphorylated and inactivated in sensory axons upon stimulation of cGKI. This finding confirms results obtained by anti-phospho-GSK3-β Western blotting analysis of DRG lysates and suggests that phosphorylation and inactivation of GSK3-β upon cGKI activation in sensory axons might play a role in sensory axon bifurcation.

3.3.2.2 Mutation preventing phosphorylation of GSK3 does not have an effect on sensory axon bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone

GSK3 regulates microtubule growth and stability, since many of its substrates are microtubule associated proteins (Garrido et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2006). Phosphorylation and inactivation of GSK3 is necessary for growth cone dynamics (Eickholt et al., 2002). Zhao et al. suggested that it enables branching capacity of DRG axons after cGKI stimulation (Zhao et al., 2009). Finding that GSK3 is a downstream target of cGKI, moreover becomes phosphorylated and inactivated in sensory axons, together with its described role in axon branching suggests that GSK3-β knock-out mouse might have errors in sensory axon bifurcation. However, analysis of GSK3-β knock-out mouse is aggravated by the fact that hepatocytes of GSK3-β embryos start to undergo apoptosis at E13.5, as a result embryos are pale and non-viable. Finally, they die due to severe liver degeneration (Hoeflich et al., 2000). Study of GSK3-β phosphorylation in embryonic mouse DRG suggests that GSK3-β is phosphorylated and thereby inactivated when cGMP signalling is active, i.e. during the time when sensory axons are bifurcating. Mouse having mutated GSK3-β which can not be phosphorylated and, therefore, should be constantly active, would allow to explore whether phosphorylation and inactivation of GSK3-β is crucial for sensory axon bifurcation. With this intent I analyzed sensory axons in GSK3 double knockin mouse. This mouse has constitutively active mutated GSK3-α and -β isoforms (Ser21 in GSK3-α and Ser9 in GSK3-β were changed to alanine) (McManus et al., 2005). If phosphorylation of GSK3-β by cGKI would be important for sensory axon bifurcation, these mice would have mutant phenotype with rostral and caudal turns instead of bifurcations, since GSK3-β as well as GSK3-α cannot
be phosphorylated. However, DiI tracing of sensory axons in GSK3 double knock-in mouse embryos revealed normal phenotype: sensory axons bifurcate, there are almost no rostral or caudal turns (Fig. 3.30). Quantification of observed DiI labelled bifurcations and caudal or rostral turns proves that bifurcation of sensory axons in GSK3 double knockin mouse is not affected – almost 99% of all observed sensory axons bifurcate (Fig. 3.31). This result suggests that phosphorylation of GSK3 and its inactivation does not play a crucial role in sensory axon bifurcation.

Fig. 3.30: Sensory axons in GSK3 double knock-in mouse embryos bifurcate. (A-B) DiI labelled sensory axons in E13 mouse embryos with constitutively active GSK-3. Sensory axons bifurcate even when GSK3 is active and cannot be inactivated by phosphorylation. Scale bar: (A-B) 50 μm.

Fig. 3.31: Quantification of DiI-labelled bifurcations and turns in GSK3 double knock-in mice. Percentage values are indicated in the table next to the percentage graph. Number of observed bifurcated or turning sensory axons is given in brackets. Three E13 GSK3 double knock-in mouse embryos were analysed.
Immunostainings with anti-L1 and anti-trkA on transverse sections of E13 GSK3 double knockin mouse showed that spinal cord anatomy is normal in mice with constitutively active GSK3 (Fig. 3.32).

**Fig. 3.32: Immunostaining of transverse spinal cord section from E13 GSK-3 double knock-in mice.**

General axonal marker anti-L1 as well as anti-trkA which stains a subset of DRG neurons, namely, nociceptors, do not reveal any abnormalities. DRG are marked with asterisks. Scale bar: 100µm.

### 3.3.3 RhoA is not a downstream target of cGKI in DRG

Rho GTPases transduce extracellular signals to the actin cytoskeleton and therefore are involved in the neuronal growth cone motility, axon growth and cell migration (Heasman and Ridley, 2008; Linseman and Loucks, 2008). Typically, activity of Rho GTPases is controlled by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) and GTPase activating proteins (GAPs). However it can be regulated also through direct phosphorylation or ubiquitination (Heasman and Ridley, 2008; Jaffe and Hall, 2005). One of the best studied Rho GTPases is RhoA. Several reports demonstrated that cGK phosphorylates RhoA *in vitro* (Sauzeau et al., 2000; Sawada et al., 2001). Moreover, cGK phosphorylates and inhibits RhoA in vascular myocytes (Sawada et al., 2009).

I analysed whether stimulated cGK phosphorylates RhoA in DRG. RhoA is detected in DRG as expected, but there is no phosphorylation of RhoA observed after stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min at 37°C (Fig.3.33).
Fig. 3.33: **RhoA and pRhoA in C57/Bl6 mouse DRG.** DRG were stimulated with 10mM 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min. Intensity of phosphorylated RhoA band does not change after stimulation of cGKI.

Investigators who studied RhoA phosphorylation by PKA claim that cellular RhoA is present in the cytosol and membrane. Cytosolic RhoA is bound to GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) which masks the phosphorylation site. Only membrane-bound RhoA, which is in a GTP state and free from GDI can be phosphorylated. Phosphorylation of RhoA increases its association with GDI which leads to extraction of RhoA from the membrane (Forget et al., 2002; Lang et al., 1996). I analysed subcellular localization of RhoA in DRG with or without addition of 8-pCPTcGMP in order to see whether RhoA becomes depleted from the membrane fraction and enriched in cytoplasmatic fraction after cGKI stimulation. I did not observe any differences in cytoplasmatic or membrane RhoA before and after cGKI stimulation (Fig. 3.34), which suggests that in DRG RhoA does not become phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI.

Fig. 3.34: **Subcellular RhoA distribution in DRG before and after cGK stimulation.** No translocation of RhoA is observed after stimulation of cGK with 8-pCPTcGMP for 30 min.

Both anti-phospho RhoA (S188) Western blot and analysis of subcellular localization before and after cGKI stimulation did not demonstrate RhoA phosphorylation by cGKI in DRG.
3.3.4 Myosin IIB is not required for sensory axon bifurcation

Myosins are involved in the retrograde F-actin flow, a process fundamental to growth cone guidance and other forms of directed cell motility (Lin, 1996). Myosin II is the main myosin subtype responsible for the retrograde actin flow (Medeiros, 2006). There are three isoforms of nonmuscle myosin II expressed by mammalian neurons: myosin IIA, IIB and IIC (Brown, 2004). Myosin IIB is the most abundant myosin II form in growth cones, therefore, it dominates in influencing retrograde flow. Neurons grown in cell culture from a myosin IIB knock-out mouse exhibited slowed outgrowth rates but significantly increased retrograde actin flow, their growth cones showed altered actin organization, size and motility. Increased retrograde actin flow affects microtubules as well – they penetrate less into actin-rich filopodia. In the absence of myosin IIB, myosin IIA takes over all the functions and the increased rate of retrograde flow mainly reflects the properties of this myosin (Brown, 2003). Myosin is regulated mainly through the phosphorylation of the regulatory myosin light chain (MLC). It can be phosphorylated by Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin dependent myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) (Brown, 2004). Or it can be dephosphorylated by myosin phosphatase (Lontay, 2005). Both processes are regulated by cGKI. cGKI can change calcium concentration by regulating its release from the intracellular calcium stores via phosphorylation of IP\(_3\)R and by regulating influx of calcium via phosphorylation of the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (Hofmann, 2009). cGKI can also regulate activity of the myosin phosphatase by phosphorylating its myosin phosphatase target subunit (MYPT1). Phosphorylation of MYPT1 by cGKI blocks inhibition of the myosin phosphatase (Lontay, 2005;Surks, 1999).

Fig. 3.35: Sensory axons bifurcate in Myo IIB knock-out mouse. (A-B) Sensory axons of E13 Myo IIB knock-out embryos labelled with DiI. Scale bar: (A-B) 50 μm.
Although myosin IIB is not a direct target of cGKI, it is indirectly regulated by cGKI activity. Myosin IIB plays an important role in retrograde flow of actin cytoskeleton which also affects microtubules. This suggests that myosin IIB might be required for the sensory axon bifurcation. To test this assumption, I analysed a myosin IIB knock-out mouse. This mouse was already investigated by the group of F. Rathjen using whole-mount neurofilament staining. There were no defects in sensory axon bifurcation detected (Schäffer, 2006). I used DiI tracing to analyse single sensory axons (Fig. 3.35). Although quantitative analysis was not carried out, observed DiI labelled bifurcating axons confirmed the results of the previous study, suggesting that Myo IIB is not required for the sensory axon bifurcation. This result leads to an assumption that the regulation of the myosin system by cGKI is not crucial for the sensory axon bifurcation.
3.4 Search for new cGK targets in DRG

Investigation of known and putative phosphorylation targets of cGKI revealed that VASP, Mena, GSK3-β, are indeed phosphorylated in embryonic DRG upon cGKI stimulation, however, none of them was detected to be implicated in sensory axon bifurcation. Therefore, I searched for new cGKI phosphorylation targets in DRG which is described in this chapter. It would be most reliable to search for cGK phosphorylation targets directly in the DRG cells of mice embryos however biochemical studies are frustrated in this case by difficulties in obtaining adequate numbers of DRG cells. Therefore the DRG derived F11 cell line was also used as an alternative. The F11 cell line is a fusion product of cells of mouse neuroblastoma cell line N18TG-2 with embryonic rat DRG neurons. F11 cells express cGKIα and cGKII and also established targets of cGKI: VASP, Mena, GSK3. VASP becomes phosphorylated in these cells upon stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP as it does in DRG, but GSK3 does not (see section 3.2.2.1). Another feature distinct from DRG is that cGKI does not become activated by addition of CNP in F11, suggesting that functional Npr2 is absent in these cells.

Several strategies were employed in search for new phosphorylation substrates of cGKI. Reduction of sample complexity is critical for the analysis of low-abundance kinase phosphorylation substrates. It can be achieved by enrichment of phosphoproteins using immobilised metal/metal oxide affinity chromatography. In this work metal oxide (Al(OH)₃) affinity chromatography was used. Specific feature of cGK, i.e. its preference to phosphorylate proteins with the amino acid sequence RRXS/T or RKXS/T (X stands for any amino acid) (Dostmann et al., 1999; Glass and Krebs, 1979; Tegge et al., 1995) was employed as well. Enrichment of phospho-proteins by immunoprecipitation with the antibody against phosphorylated RRXP/S/P motif was carried out. Antibodies recognizing another consensus phosphorylation site of cGK (RKXS/T) or phosphorylated serine/threonine amino acid were exploited as well. Two dimensional gels combined with immunobloting were used for analysis of phosphoproteins.

3.4.1 Enrichment of phosphoproteins with Al(OH)₃ and phosphostaining

Affinity chromatography is often used for phosphoprotein or phosphopeptide enrichment. This method is based upon the affinity of negatively charged phosphate groups for positively charged metal ions (e.g. Fe³⁺, Ga³⁺) immobilized on a chromatographic support (IMAC – immobilized metal affinity chromatography). IMAC is based largely on ionic interactions, so peptides rich in glutamic and aspartic acid residues are often co-purified. Other amino acid
residues such as cystein and histidine may also interact with the IMAC material making selectivity for the phosphate group difficult (Metodiev et al., 2004). An alternative for IMAC is metal hydro-oxide affinity chromatography (MOAC). It has the same drawbacks as IMAC, but is easier accessible (Wolschin et al., 2005; Wolschin and Weckwerth, 2005). TiO$_2$ or Al(OH)$_3$ are used in this approach. Al(OH)$_3$ can be used both for phosphopeptide and phosphoprotein enrichment. I carried out phosphoprotein enrichment. Phosphopeptide enrichment could be the next step after excision of enriched phosphoprotein band.

Pro-Q Diamond phosphoprotein dye technology suitable for the fluorescent detection of phosphoserine, phosphothreonine, and phosphotyrosine containing proteins directly on SDS-PAGE gels (Steinberg et al., 2003) was also used to study the differences in phosphorylation between 8-pCPTcGMP treated and untreated samples as well as to assess the phosphoprotein enrichment efficiency.

For enrichment of phosphoproteins from 8-pCPTcGMP treated or untreated F11 cell lysates Al(OH)$_3$ was used. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE on a 10% acrylamide gel. Gels were stained first with phosphostain Pro-Q Diamond and, after documentation of phosphostaining, Coomassie staining was performed on the same gel. No increase in phosphoprotein staining intensity compared to the total protein staining is observed after Al(OH)$_3$ phosphoprotein enrichment which implies that phosphoprotein enrichment was not succesful (Fig. 3.36).

![Fig. 3.36: Phosphoprotein enrichment with metal oxide (Al(OH)$_3$) affinity chromatography in F11 cell lysate. (A)Total protein staining with Coomassie and phospho-protein staining with Pro-Q Diamond are shown before and after phosphoprotein enrichment. Coomassie staining was carried out after Pro-Q Diamond staining on the same acrylamide gel, therefore, intensity of phosphoprotein staining can be directly compared to the](image-url)
intensity of total protein staining. Intensity of Pro-Q Diamond stain in stimulated sample compared to total protein staining did not increase after phosphoprotein enrichment suggesting that phosphoprotein enrichment was not sufficient. Phosphoprotein ovalbumin used as a 45 kDa molecular mass marker is stained by Pro-Q Diamond whereas other unphosphorylated proteins in the molecular mass marker mixture remained unstained in phosphoprotein staining. 10% separating acrylamide gel was used for SDS-PAGE.

No difference in phosphostaining intensity between unstimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated samples is observed both before and after phosphoprotein enrichment which suggests that either phosphostain is not specific enough or that the amount of proteins phosphorylated after cGKI stimulation is too low to be detected by Pro-Q staining. Pro-Q Diamond stains ovalbumin, which has two phosphate groups (Nisbet et al., 1981), in contrast to unphosphorylated proteins in molecular mass marker mixture (Fig.3.36) which confirms phospho-specificity of the dye. Therefore, little amount of proteins phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation compared to the total amount of phosphoproteins in the cell could explain the fact, that no difference in phosphorylation is observed between stimulated and not stimulated F11 cell lysates.

3.4.2 Screen for targets of cGKI in DRG using anti-phospho antibodies

Since enrichment of phosphoproteins with MOAC was not successful and did not help to find phosphorylation targets of cGKI, I used anti-phospho antibodies in order to reveal proteins phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation. cGKI is a serine/threonine kinase, therefore, I used anti-phospho-serine and anti-phospho-threonine antibodies to look for differently phosphorylated proteins upon cGKI stimulation. Moreover, cGKI has a preference for RRXS/T and RKXS/T amino acid sequences and I used antibodies for these phosphorylated sequences in the search for new phosphorylation targets of cGKI.

3.4.2.1 Screen with anti-pS and anti-pT antibodies did not reveal any differentially phosphorylated bands

cGKI is a serine/threonine kinase. Therefore, antibodies against phospho-serine and phospho-threonine were also used to screen for proteins differentially phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI. Anti-phospho-tyrosine antibodies have been widely used in phosphoprotein studies. They are of good specificity, show little cross-reactivity to unphosphorylated tyrosine or serine-/threonine phosphorylated residues, are efficient in immunoprecipitation. However,
anti-phospho-serine and -threonine antibodies are mostly dependent on consensus sequences in addition to the phosphorylated residue and are thus more likely to be unable to access a phosphorylation site due to steric hindrance resulting in poor immunoprecipitation power. (Morandell et al., 2006; Reinders and Sickmann, 2005)

In the screen for cGKI phosphorylation targets antibodies against phospho-serine (BD biosciences) or phospho-threonine (Cell signalling) did not reveal any changes in phosphorylation neither in embryonic DRG samples nor in F11 cell culture samples (Fig. 3.37).

![Fig. 3.37: Anti-pS and anti-pT Western blot analysis of DRG and F11 lysates.](image)

Embryonic mouse DRG and F11 cells were stimulated with 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min and afterwards analysed by Western blotting with antibody against phosphorylated serine (BD biosciences) or threonine (Cell signalling). No differences in phosphorylation are observed between the unstimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated samples. F11 cell lysate was resolved by SDS-PAGE on 10% acrylamide gel and embryonic DRG lysate – on 7.5% acrylamide gel.

### 3.4.2.2 Screen with anti-RRXpS/pT reveals both phosphorylated and dephosphorylated bands upon stimulation of cGK

An antibody recognizing the phosphorylated sequence RRXpS/pT, a preferred phosphorylation motif of cGKI, was used to screen for proteins that become phosphorylated in DRG upon stimulation of cGKI with the cGMP analogue 8-pCPTcGMP. Both DRG from E13 mouse embryos as well as F11 cells, derived from DRG neurons, were screened. This approach identified several bands phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation of about 95 kDa, 100 kDa, 140 kDa and a band of approximately 200 kDa in DRG samples (Fig. 3.38 A). The
latter band was most prominent and observed in all repetitive Western blots. Intensity of other differentially phosphorylated bands tended to vary by repetitive experiments. Successive search for a new DRG target was mainly concentrated on 200 kDa protein band phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI. Surprisingly, the stimulation of F11 cells resulted in dephosphorylation of about 51 kDa size protein (Fig. 3.38). This result might be caused by one of the following mechanisms:

- cGKI inhibits an unknown kinase either by phosphorylating it or by binding to the 51 kDa protein and thereby preventing access to it for another kinase. Phosphorylation of 51 kDa protein by an unknown kinase would be prevented in this way and phosphorylation status of the protein would gradually decrease due to phosphatase activity.
- It is also possible that the 51 kDa protein becomes dephosphorylated by a phosphatase activated by cGKI.

To confirm that the anti-RRXpS/pT specifically recognizes only phosphoproteins I dephosphorylated crude lysates of both 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated and not stimulated DRG and F11 cells with lambda-phosphatase prior to Western blotting. Whereas anti-RRXpS/pT recognizes copious bands in the lanes, where DRG lysates without lambda-phosphatase treatment were loaded, there are no bands at all recognized in the lanes where DRG lysates after lambda-phosphatase treatment were loaded (Fig. 3.38 A). This confirms anti-RRXpS/pT specificity against phosphorylated proteins.
Fig. 3.38: Western blot analysis of DRG and F11 lysates with the antibody recognizing consensus phosphorylation motif of cGK (RRXpS/pT). (A) Anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting analysis of unstimulated and stimulated mouse DRG lysates. There are several phospho-bands appearing after cGKI stimulation. Lambda-phosphatase dephosphorylated them and they are no longer observed in anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot confirming, that the antibody indeed recognizes phosphoproteins. (B) Anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting analysis of unstimulated and stimulated F11 lysates. There is a 51 kDa phospho-band which becomes less intensive after cGKI stimulation. Treatment with lambda-phosphatase carried out on nitrocellulose membrane dephosphorylated the 51 kDa band and the antibody against RRXpS/pT does not recognize it anymore confirming its specificity. (C) Anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting analysis of unstimulated and stimulated F11 lysates as well as of lysates dephosphorylated with lambda-phosphatase. 51 kDa band is recognized by the anti-RRXpS/pT after lambda-phosphatase treatment showing that the 51 kDa protein did not become dephosphorylated. Asterisks indicate the 51 kDa band. NC, nitrocellulose. DRG lysates were resolved on 7.5% acrylamide gel and F11 cell lysates – on 10% acrylamide gel.

The intensity of bands recognized by the anti-RRXpS/pT declines significantly after the lambda-phosphatase dephosphorylation carried out both directly in the F11 cell lysate as well as on the nitrocellulose membrane to which F11 sample resolved on SDS-PAGE was transferred. However, the 51 kDa band becomes dephosphorylated only after the lambda-
phosphatase treatment carried out on the nitrocellulose membrane but not in the F11 cell lysate (Fig. 3.37 B-C). Dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa band only on the nitrocellulose membrane but not in the lysate can be explained by the unaccessibility of the phosphate group for lambda-phosphatase in the native protein in the F11 cell lysate. On nitrocellulose membrane proteins are denaturated, phosphate groups become exposed and, therefore, accessible for lambda-phosphatase.

cGKI is a well studied kinase with many downstream targets being published. To check whether the 200 kDa protein which becomes phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation in DRG is identical to one of the established cGKI substrates I looked for the substrates with identical molecular mass. One of the targets phosphorylated by cGKI with close molecular mass is the inositol triphosphate receptor (IP3 receptor) (Schlossmann et al., 2000). I tested whether bands recognized by the antibody against phospho-motif and against IP3 receptor migrate similarly on the SDS-PAGE. The results showed that the bands migrate differently: IP3 receptor containing band migrates slower and has larger molecular weight as the 200 kDa band phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation (Fig. 3.39)

Fig. 3.39: 200 kDa band which becomes phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation is not the inositol triphosphate receptor (IP3R). (A) E13 embryonic DRG lysates were analysed by Western blot with antibodies against RRXpS/pT motif and against IP3 receptor. The size of IP3 receptor is about 240 kDa and it migrates visibly slower as the 200 kDa band recognized by the antibody against RRXpS/pT motif. (B) magnified view of boxed area around 200 kDa in (A). DRG lysate was resolved by SDS-PAGE on 7.5% acrylamide gel.

3.4.2.3 A screen with antiserum against CGGLRKpSK reveals VASP and Mena phosphorylation upon cGKI stimulation

Antiserum that was raised against CGGLRKpSK motif and recognizes RKXpS motif phosphorylated by cGKI was used for screening of proteins that become phosphorylated
upon cGKI stimulation. This antiserum was raised against a very specific recognition sequence which is contained in Mena/VASP family members and is phosphorylated by cGKI (Butt et al., 1994). Anti-CGGLRKVpSK Western blot studies with stimulated and not stimulated DRG and F11 cell samples show that several bands become phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP (Fig. 3.40). The size of one of the bands corresponds to the size of phosphorylated VASP protein, the size of another band corresponds to 80kDa isoform of Mena protein. Since the antibody recognizes phosphorylated sequence which is present in both proteins and can be phosphorylated by cGKI (LRKVpSK in murine VASP and LRKVpSR in murine Mena), it is likely that VASP and Mena are the bands that are observed to be differently phosphorylated after stimulation of cGKI. However, the antibody did not recognize other candidate proteins in DRG or F11 cells that would become phosphorylated after stimulation of cGKI.

**Fig. 3.40: Anti-CGGLRKVpSK Western blot analysis of DRG and F11 cell lysates.** Embryonic (E13) DRG and F11 cells were stimulated with 8-pCPTcGMP for 5 min. Afterwards stimulated DRG and F11 cell lysates as well as unstimulated control DRG and F11 cell lysates were analysed by Western blotting with antiserum recognizing the sequence LRVpS which is present in Mena/VASP family proteins. Asterisks show bands which become phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI. One of the bands (two asterisks) corresponds to the size of phosphorylated VASP (50kDa) and another one (one asterisk) corresponds to 80 kDa isoform of Mena protein. Embryonic mouse DRG and F11 cells lysates were resolved on 10 % acrylamide gel.
3.4.3 Approaches used to identify differentially phosphorylated proteins observed in anti-RRXpS/pT Western blots

Many of the signalling molecules, which are major targets of phosphorylation events are present at low abundance within cells and, in these cases, enrichment is a prerequisite to clarify their identity by mass spectrometry. Western blot analysis allows detection of very low abundance proteins but their identification by MS requires enrichment of a protein to a level visible on a silver stained gel. While phosphorylation targets of cGKI are observed on anti-RRXpS/pT Western blots they are not visible on a Pro-Q Diamond stained gel whose sensitivity is comparable to a silver stain. I used several enrichment strategies which are described in this section. First of all I tried immunoprecipitation of cGKI phosphorylation targets using antibody against RRXpS/pT. Next I analysed whether phosphorylation substrates of cGKI recognized by anti-RRXpS/pT antibody are enriched in some subcellular fractions and analysed postnuclear and cytoplasmatic fractions on one-dimensional and two-dimensional SDS-PAGE gels and Western blots. These experiments were carried out using mainly F11 cells and trying to enrich the 51 kDa protein because F11 cell material was easier available compared to embryonic mouse DRG.

3.4.3.1 Immunoprecipitation with antibody against phopho-motif RRXpS/pT

The straightforward approach to enrich and identify the differently phosphorylated proteins observed in anti-RRXpS/pT Western blots after stimulation of cGKI would be immunoprecipitation with anti-RRXpS/pT antibody. Immunoprecipitation from protein lysates of embryonic DRG was carried out in order to determine whether the 200 kDa protein phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation could be enriched by anti-RRXpS/pT antibody. As shown in figure 3.41 the protein of 200 kDa is bound by the antibody against the RRXpS/pT motif, but not so strongly that it would become significantly enriched. Apart from the 200 kDa band two other protein bands show somewhat higher level of enrichment. Immunoprecipitates from unstimulated and stimulated embryonic DRG resolved on SDS-PAGE gel were silver-stained in order to test whether the amount of phospho-proteins enriched by anti-RRXpS/pT antibody and observed in the Western blot is sufficient and visible in silver-stained gel. The lack of prominent bands in both lanes loaded with control or
8-pCPTcGMP treated protein lysates indicates the insufficiency of the antibody against RRXpS/pT motif to enrich phosphorylated proteins, in particular the 200 kDa component.

Fig. 3.41: Immunoprecipitation with anti-RRXpS/pT antibody from embryonic (E13) DRG lysates. Embryonic DRG lysates were precleared by incubation with protein A-sepharose beads and incubated overnight with anti-RRXpS/pT antibody. Several bands could be observed in the anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot lanes loaded with the immunoprecipitate, however, they are not significantly enriched. Proteins that were not bound by anti-RRXpS/pT but are recognized by this antibody are visible in the last “Flow through” lanes. Absence of significant enrichment by immunoprecipitation with anti-RRXpS/pT antibody is confirmed by the silver stained SDS-PAGE gel of immunoprecipitates – there are no prominent bands observed. Lysates for Western blot analysis and silver gel staining were resolved on 7.5 % acrylamide gel. Asterisks denote the heavy chain of the anti-RRXpS/pT antibody

3.4.3.2 Subcellular fractionation of crude lysates in order to enrich phosphorylated bands and subsequent mass spectrometry analysis of a band cut from a one dimensional SDS-PAGE

Subcellular fractionation of embryonic (E13) mouse DRG as well as F11 cells was carried out in an attempt to enrich the 200 kDa and 51 kDa components. Western blot analysis showed that many proteins are removed with the nuclear pellet, but the 200 kDa and 51 kDa protein remain in the postnuclear supernatant (Fig 3.42). 200 kDa band does not become significantly enriched. Due to the limited availability of embryonic mouse DRG tissue further experiments concentrated on F11 cells and the 51 kDa band. The 51 kDa band becomes considerably enriched in the cytoplasmic fraction of F11 cells. Interestingly, this band becomes visible in the postnuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of DRG as well, which suggests
that the 51 kDa band becomes dephosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation in DRG too and is not a specific feature characteristic only to F11 cells. Lesser amount of embryonic DRG lysate loaded due to its limited availability or lesser relative amount of 51 kDa protein in the DRG phospho-proteome compared to F11 cells would explain the difficulty to visualize the 51 kDa protein in crude DRG lysates analyzed by anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting. Dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa protein is observed in anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot, however in Coomassie stained gel the band is still under detection limit (Fig. 3.42). Despite this fact the band from Coomassie stained gel in the region of 51 kDa was cut out and given for mass spectrometry analysis assuming that putative cGKI phosphorylation targets could be identified by the presence of the RRXS/T motif in their sequence. Six of all identified proteins had the RRXS/T motif and molecular mass close to 51 kDa (table 3.7).

![Fig.3.42: Enrichment of 200 kDa and 51 kDa proteins by subcellular fractionation for subsequent mass spectrometry analysis.](image)

Both 200 kDa and 51 kDa bands remain in the cytoplasmic fraction. The 51 kDa protein becomes considerably enriched by subcellular fractionation. After enrichment it is observed not only in the F11 postnuclear supernatant and cytoplasmatic fraction but also in the DRG postnuclear supernatant and cytoplasmic fraction. The cytoplasmic F11 cell fraction was resolved by SDS-PAGE, stained by Coomassie and the region of the 51 kDa band was cut out and analysed by mass spectrometry. The 51 kDa protein enriched in postnuclear supernatant and cytoplasmic fraction as well as the band with the 51 kDa protein cut out from the Coomassie stained gel are shown in boxes. The 200 kDa band is indicated by arrowheads. Embryonic (E13) DRG fractions were resolved on 7.5 % acrylamide gel and F11 cell fractions – on 10 % acrylamide gel.
**Table 3.7:** Proteins, detected by mass spectrometry analysis of the F11 cytoplasmic fraction of approximately 51 kDa and having the RRXS/T sequence. (The whole list of proteins detected by MS is given in table 1 of the Appendix.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Theoretical MW, kDa</th>
<th>Putative phosphorylation sequence motif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P61203</td>
<td>COP9 signalosome complex subunit 2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>RRTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4QRB8</td>
<td>Dead end homolog 1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>RRRT, RRRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3B8Q2</td>
<td>Similar to DEAD Asp Glu Ala Asp box polypeptide 48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>RRRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50503</td>
<td>Hsc70 interacting protein</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>RRQS, RKVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4KM87</td>
<td>Actin like 6A</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>RRFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9QXU8</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic dynein 1 light intermediate chain 1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>RRAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The list of candidate proteins to become dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI is too long to come to a decision about the identity of the 51 kDa component. Either all the candidate proteins revealed by the MS analysis should be separately investigated whether they become dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI or the list of candidate proteins should be narrowed down.

### 3.4.3.3 Two dimensional separation of F11 and embryonic DRG samples for subsequent mass spectrometry analysis of differentially phosphorylated spots

#### 3.4.3.3.1 Two dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blots with F11 and embryonic DRG samples

In order to narrow down the candidate list that was obtained after the mass spectrometry analysis of the 51 kDa band cut out from the one dimensional Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel the mass spectrometry analysis was repeated but this time from the 51 kDa spot cut out from a two-dimensional Coomassie stained gel.

Western blot analysis with anti-RRXpS/pT was carried out in order to find the dephosphorylated 51 kDa spot in two dimensional gel (Fig. 3.43). The difference in phosphorylation pattern between unstimulated and 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated samples could be reproducibly visualized in Western blots of two-dimensional SDS-PAGE preparations.
Fig.3.43: Postnuclear fraction of F11 cells separated on one-dimensional and two-dimensional gels subsequently analysed by anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting. The 51 kDa protein which becomes dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP is shown in a red circle both in 1D and 2D gels. IPG stripe with pH interval from 4.7 to 5.9 was used for the first dimensional separation by IEF and 10% acrylamide gel – for second dimensional separation on SDS-PAGE.

In parallel studies a two dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot analysis of 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated and unstimulated embryonic DRG lysates was carried out as well. In one-dimensional Western blot with embryonic mouse DRG samples only proteins additionally phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation were observed, but no dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa component or any other bands (Fig. 3.38). Interestingly, in the two-dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot dephosphorylation of a spot of about 50-55 kDa was visible (Fig. 3.44). Molecular mass and pI characteristics of this spot observed in the two dimensional gel resemble those of the 51 kDa component observed in F11 samples resolved on a two dimensional gel (Fig. 3.43) implying that the same 51 kDa component becomes dephosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation both in F11 and DRG cells. Subcellular fractionation also revealed that the 51 kDa protein dephosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation is present in DRG (Fig. 3.42). The 51 kDa protein dephosphorylation is probably not readily observed in 8-pCPTcGMP stimulated DRG lysates due to its low concentration. Enrichment of the 51 kDa component in the cytoplasmic fraction as well as a higher amount of total protein resolved on two dimensional gel allow to visualize the 51 kDa component. Two-dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot analysis together with the results of subcellular fractionation of DRG lysates suggests that dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa component upon stimulation of cGKI is not specific to F11 cells but is also found in DRG.
Fig.3.44: Embryonic (E13) mouse DRG lysates resolved on one-dimensional and two-dimensional SDS-PAGE gels were subsequently analysed by anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting. Many phospho-spots in 2D Western blot appear after stimulation of cGKI. Some of them reveal a mass of 200 kDa and correspond to the 200 kDa phospho band appearing in 1D gel after lysate incubation with 8-pCPTcGMP. The 51 kDa protein which becomes dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP is shown in a red circle both in 1D (implied location based on the results of enrichment by subcellular fractionation) and 2D gels. SDS-PAGE was carried out on 7.5 % acrylamide gel, IEF – on IPG stripe with pH range 4.7-5.9.

3.4.3.3.2 Mass spectrometry analysis of the 51 kDa protein spot cut from two-dimensional Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE

To assign spots on two-dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blots to their corresponding proteins, it is necessary to visualize the entire proteome directly on the membrane. For this purpose the membrane was stained with Ponceau and spots visible on the Ponceau labelled membrane were marked with a pencil. Afterwars Western blot analysis with the antibody against RRXpS/pT was carried out. The scan of the anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot was overlayed with the pencil marks. As demonstrated in figure 3.45, the position of the 51 kDa component in relation to protein spots stained by Ponceau could be detected on the composite image of the Western blot and Ponceau stains marked by pencil.
Fig. 3.45: Location of the 51 kDa phospho-spot in relation to protein spots stained by Ponceau. The 51 kDa spot which becomes dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI with 8-pCPTcGMP can be observed only in anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot. Ponceau stained proteins were marked with a pencil on the nitrocellulose membrane. The photo of anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot was taken, immediately followed by a photo of pencil marks. Then both photos were overlayed enabling visualization of the 51 kDa spot in relation to Ponceau stained protein spots. The circle indicates location of the 51 kDa phospho-spot in relation to total protein spots on Ponceau stained membrane. IPG stripe with pH interval from 4.7 to 5.9 was used for the first dimensional separation by IEF and 10% acrylamide gel – for second dimensional separation by SDS-PAGE.

Having defined the position of the 51 kDa spot, sample of the unstimulated postnuclear fraction of F11 cells was resolved on a large two dimensional SDS-PAGE gel (first dimension was carried out on an IPG stripe of 17 cm) and the area around the 51 kDa spot location was cut out for a mass spectrometry analysis (Fig. 3.46). However, the results obtained by the mass spectrometry analysis did not narrow down the list of candidate-proteins to be phosphorylated by cGKI, obtained after mass spectrometry analysis of a band cut out from the one-dimensional gel. Moreover, it added new candidate-proteins to the list (table 3.8).
Fig. 3.46: Coomassie staining of proteins of the postnuclear fraction of unstimulated F11 cells separated on a two-dimensional SDS-PAGE. The region that was cut out for the mass spectrometry analysis of the putative 51 kDa spot is shown in a box. IEF was carried out on 17 cm long IPG stripe with pH range 4.7-5.9 and SDS-PAGE - on 10% acrylamide gel.

Table 3.8: Proteins, detected by the mass spectrometry analysis of the 51 kDa spot cut from a two-dimensional SDS-PAGE with resolved unstimulated F11 postnuclear sample. Only proteins of approximately 51 kDa having consensus phosphorylation sequence of cGKI are shown. (The whole list of proteins detected by MS is given in table 2 of the Appendix.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW, kDa</th>
<th>Putative phosphorylation sequence motif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q61553</td>
<td>Fascin</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>RRIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P63101</td>
<td>KCIP-1 Protein kinase C inhibitor – 1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RRSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(alternative name for 14-3-3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8IP69</td>
<td>14-3-3 protein gamma subtype</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RRSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12369</td>
<td>cAMP dependent protein kinase type II beta regulatory subunit</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>RRAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8K1M3</td>
<td>cAMP dependent protein kinase type II alpha regulatory subunit</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>RRVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AQ07</td>
<td>Tubulin beta 1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>RRES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1WB9</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase, liver and red blood cell</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>RRAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1L113</td>
<td>Keratin 1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>RRST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RH6</td>
<td>Keratin 75</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>RRVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9Z2L7</td>
<td>Cytokine receptor like factor 3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>RRDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RRT9</td>
<td>Zinc finger, RAN binding domain containing 2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>RRTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XI3</td>
<td>Glutamate rich WD repeat containing protein 1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>RRHT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There might be several reasons, why the mass spectrometry analysis gave different results for the 51 kDa band regions cut out from the one-dimensional and from the two-dimensional gels. Firstly, dephosphorylation is visible only on anti-RRXpSpT Western blots but not on Coomassie stained gels, therefore, cutting of the 51 kDa protein region from a Coomassie stained gel guided by the molecular mass marker or other cues is inaccurate. Secondly, in a band for MS analysis cut out from one-dimensional SDS-PAGE proteins with minor differences in their molecular mass are enriched whereas in a spot from two-dimensional SDS-PAGE proteins not only with minor differences in their molecular mass but also in their isoelectric point are enriched. Protein population in two-dimensional SDS-PAGE spot is reduced, therefore, level of some low abundance proteins which are not detected by MS in the band from one-dimensional SDS-PAGE rises compared to other proteins and becomes high enough to be detected by MS.

3.4.3.3.3 Mass spectrometry analysis of the 51 kDa protein spot cut from two-dimensional Western blot

In order to visualize and cut out the 51 kDa phosphoprotein spot precisely, it was cut out from an anti-RRXpSpT Western blot on a nitrocellulose membrane. Although usually SDS-PAGE gels are used to identify proteins by mass spectrometry analysis but it is also possible to identify proteins on nitrocellulose membranes (Dufresne-Martin et al., 2005; Luque-Garcia et al., 2006; Luque-Garcia et al., 2008) An AP conjugated secondary antibody was used for the Western blot in order to visualize the spot directly on the membrane. Protein free blocking solution was used to avoid sticking of extraneous proteins to the membrane.
Fig. 3.47: Comparison of two dimensional anti-RRXpS/pT Western blot with unstimulated and stimulated F11 postnuclear samples from which spots for mass spectrometry were cut out. Grey stains in the background are Ponceau stained proteins and they show that similar amounts of proteins were loaded in both Western blots. Two-dimensional SDS-PAGE gel was carried out on a big gel (IPG stripe 17 cm). Big rectangular area (7x9 cm) around the 51 kDa spot was cut from the SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane followed by anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting. Western blot membrane covers the area between 30 and 65 kDa. There are several spots recognized by the anti-RRXpS/pT in the area of dephosphorylated 51 kDa spot because the F11 sample was resolved on a bigger SDS-PAGE as usual and separation is higher compared to the initial Western blots in figures 3.43 and 3.44. Spots marked with circles were cut out and sent for mass spectrometry analysis.

Identification of the spots cut out from the Western blot on nitrocellulose membrane by mass spectrometry analysis (Fig. 3.47) gave in general less proteins, compared to the spots cut from the SDS-PAGE gels. There was only one protein containing RRXS sequence (table 3.9). However, this protein was not present in the previous lists of candidate proteins to be dephosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation compiled according to the results of mass spectrometry analysis. Moreover, it has a theoretical molecular mass of 101 kDa, although majority of other identified proteins have a molecular mass around 50 kDa showing that spots were cut correctly.
**Table 3.9:** Proteins, detected by mass spectrometry analysis of the 51 kDa spots cut from a two-dimensional Western blot. Only protein having consensus sequence of cGKI is presented. (The whole list of proteins detected by MS is given in table 3 of the Appendix.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW, kDa</th>
<th>Putative phosphorylation sequence motif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2AAY5</td>
<td>SH3 and PX domain containing protein 2B</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>RRYS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although MS analysis of proteins on a nitrocellulose membrane is possible it is a complicated experiment. The main drawbacks are loss of proteins due to blotting and presence of high concentration of immunoglobulins on the nitrocellulose membrane compared to the sample proteins trapped on it. This explains the low number of proteins detected by MS.

Anti-RRXpS/pT is a useful tool to detect proteins phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI in DRG neurons as well as in F11 cells. Detected phosphorylation targets of cGKI are most likely novel phosphorylation targets because their properties do not fit with the known downstream targets. Moreover, an unexpected dephosphorylation event dependent on cGKI was observed which is an unusual function for a kinase. The main challenge for identification of phosphorylated proteins was their enrichment to enable MS analysis. However, different strategies used for enrichment of these detected targets were not successful.
4 DISCUSSION

In this work a crucial role of cGMP signalling in the bifurcation of sensory DRG axons and central branches of trigeminal sensory axons is shown and the cGMP signalling pathway including CNP, Npr2 and cGKI is elucidated using mutant mouse analysis with the DiI labelling technique. Absence of one of these components affects bifurcation of sensory axons but not their interstitial branching which shows that these two types of branching are mechanistically different. These results suggest that CNP, Npr2 and cGKI most likely act in a signalling cascade where CNP activates Npr2. This results in the generation of cGMP from GTP by the guanylyl cyclase activity of Npr2. cGMP activates cGKI leading to phosphorylation of its downstream targets. Several proteins that are phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG were identified, however, a downstream phosphorylation target of cGKI that is also involved in sensory axon bifurcation was not found.

4.1 Is cGMP signalling sufficient to induce bifurcation of sensory axons, or why sensory neurons bifurcate in vivo but not in vitro?

What environmental factors force an axon to bifurcate? The simplest explanation would be as given by Zhou et al. – an inhibitory cue acts at the center of the growth cone, the cytoskeleton retracts in the center and proceeds to grow on both sides resulting in the bifurcation of the growth cone (Zhou et al., 2002). Such bifurcation is an exclusive case of turning, when an inhibitory cue acts on the center of the growth cone instead of acting from the side. Sensory axons stop upon reaching the dorsal root entry zone, suggesting that dorsal spinal cord acts inhibitory/repellent on these axons (Masuda et al., 2008; Ozaki and Snider, 1997; Watanabe et al., 2006). Since sensory axons extend towards the spinal cord at a straight angle, the center of the growth cone would be exposed to the inhibitory effect from the spinal cord and the growth cone would split. However, the fact, that sensory axons in mice deficient in cGMP signalling do not bifurcate and, instead, turn caudally or rostrally disagrees with this explanation (Fig. 4.1).

If only inhibitory/repellent cues from the spinal cord acting on the center of the growth cone would induce axon bifurcation, then this does not explain, why cGMP signalling mutants do not bifurcate, but turn either rostrally or caudally. These mutants lack either cGKI, functional Npr2 or CNP. Both cGKI and Npr2 are expressed in the DRG neurons (Schmidt et al., 2002; Schmidt et al., 2007) and CNP is secreted by dorsal horn neurons (Schmidt et al., 1997).
Absence of cGKI or functional Npr2 affects cGMP signalling in sensory neurons rather than inhibitory/repellent cues from the spinal cord. Therefore, an inhibitory/repellent signal alone is not sufficient to induce bifurcation of sensory axons, instead CNP-Npr2-cGKI signalling is essential for this.

Fig.4.1: Schematic representation of sensory axon growth cone behaviour at the dorsal root entry zone. (A) The growth cone of a sensory axon in a wild type mice detects inhibitory/repellent cues from the spinal cord upon arrival at the DREZ as well as the CNP signal which induces a cGMP signalling cascade in the sensory axon leading to bifurcation. (B) The growth cone of a sensory axon in mice mutant for components of cGMP signalling detects inhibitory/repellent cues from the spinal cord as well. However, due to the disrupted cGMP signalling the growth cone does not bifurcate, it just turns away from inhibitory signals of the spinal cord and grows in the rostral or caudal direction.

Experiments with sensory axons grown in culture analysing different factors which could effect their bifurcation did not give unequivocal results. On the one hand, only 5-13 % of sensory axons in culture are bifurcating which suggests that in the vast majority of sensory neurons in vitro some of the signals important for axonal bifurcation are missing (Müller, 2002). This could be explained by the fact that CNP is not produced by sensory neurons themselves and there is no alternative source of CNP in the cell culture (in FCS free medium), therefore, the cGMP signalling cascade leading to bifurcation is not activated. On the other hand, addition of CNP to the cell culture of sensory neurons does not induce their bifurcation (Schmidt et al., 2007; Zhao and Ma, 2009). Moreover, application of membrane permeable cGMP derivative did not increase sensory axon bifurcation in vitro (Müller, 2002). Probably, the CNP signal and even activation of cGKI is not sufficient to force sensory neurons in a cell culture to bifurcate and other concomitant signals are required for this special branching mode. Slit proteins are proposed as an additional bifurcation factor of
sensory axons in several publications of Le Ma who started to analyse the influence of Slit proteins on sensory axon branching in the group of Tessier-Lavigne (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007; Wang et al., 1999; Zhao and Ma, 2009). Slit proteins are ligands for Robo receptors. Slit1 and Slit2 are expressed in the dorsal spinal cord at the time, when sensory axons reach the dorsal root entry zone, whereas sensory axons express Robo1 and Robo2 receptors (Ma and Tessier-Lavigne, 2007). Slit2 was found to be a repulsive cue for neurons expressing Robo receptors, however, it acts positively on sensory axon growth in vitro (Brose et al., 1999; Brose and Tessier-Lavigne, 2000; Kidd et al., 1998). Moreover, Slit2 was found to regulate branching of sensory axons in vitro (Wang et al., 1999). Analysis of double knock-out mouse lacking Slit1 and Slit2 revealed that DRG axons bifurcate and form collateral branches, suggesting that Slit proteins do not affect bifurcation and collateral branching of sensory axons in vivo. However, some bifurcated axons of Slit1 and Slit2 double knock-out mice as well as of Robo1 and Robo2 double knock-out mice grow prematurely into the spinal cord instead of growing in longitudinal direction which confirms a repellent axonal guidance role of Slit proteins.

Signals acting in concert with CNP at the dorsal root entry zone on bifurcation of sensory axons most probably do not act as branching factors. If there would be other branching signals besides the cGMP signalling, the phenotype of the cGMP signalling mutants would be at least partly compensated by them. However, almost all sensory axons observed in cGMP signalling mutant mice do not bifurcate. Axonal guidance cues could help cGMP signalling to induce axonal bifurcation at the dorsal root entry zone. If repulsive signal(s) acting on axonal growth cones and forcing them to change their growth direction are a necessary complement for cGMP signalling to induce bifurcation, then absence of such signal(s) in cell culture would explain why sensory axons do not start to bifurcate after addition of CNP. From this point of view Slit could be a complementary signal regulating sensory axon bifurcation. Slit is not the only inhibitory cue acting at the dorsal spinal cord at the time when sensory axons reach the dorsal root entry zone. This could explain that only minor defects of bifurcations are observed in Slit knock-out mice. Netrin-1 and Semaphorin 3A also act as inhibitory cues for sensory axons (Fu et al., 2000; Masuda et al., 2008; Ozaki and Snider, 1997; Watanabe et al., 2006). Analysis of the Semaphorin 3A knock-out mouse, the receptor of semaphorin 3A – neuropilin - knock-out mouse or the netrin-1 knock-out mouse has not revealed sensory axon bifurcation defects (Kitsukawa et al., 1997; Serafini et al., 1996; Taniguchi et al., 1997). This result is not surprising having in mind that several
inhibitory/repellent signals act in the spinal cord and, therefore, a single knock-out mouse does not reveal any abnormalities in sensory axon bifurcation.

One more puzzle presented by *in vitro* experiments with sensory neurons is an ability of small fraction (5-13%) of axons to bifurcate (Müller, 2002). As already mentioned, sensory neurons do not have any source of CNP in the cell culture with FCS free medium, therefore, the main factor inducing bifurcation - cGMP signalling - can not be stimulated by CNP in sensory neurons *in vitro*. Bifurcation of some sensory neurons in the absence of CNP could be explained by the ability of p21-activated kinase (PAK) to activate membrane bound guanylyl cyclases (Guo et al., 2007). PAK is activated by the small GTPase Rac which in turn can be activated by extracellular signals through various types of membrane receptors, including receptor tyrosine kinases (e.g. PDGF, EGF receptors)(Betson et al., 2002;Guo et al., 2007). If this pathway could be activated in a small fraction of sensory neurons *in vitro*, then, most probably, it could be activated in some sensory neurons *in vivo* as well. However, analysis of the CNP mutant mouse phenotype does not demonstrate any increase in bifurcations compared to cGKI knock-out or Npr2 mutant mice. Also analysis of the same strain of CNP mutant mouse by Zhao and Ma revealed only 2% of bifurcating axons in homozygous mutant mice (Zhao, 2009). Moreover, analysis of CNP knock-out mouse reported less than 1% of bifurcating axons in knock-out mice (Schmidt, 2009). All these results confirm my analysis of CNP mutant mouse and reject the theory that a small fraction of neurons activate cGMP signalling via an alternative pathway.

### 4.2 What prevents sensory axons from repeated bifurcation

First sensory axons reach the spinal cord at E 10.5, bifurcate and grow further in the rostrocaudal direction. After 48 h waiting period collateral branches sprout from both arms of the main axon and enter the spinal cord reaching dorsal or ventral horn. After the first bifurcation and change of the growth direction no further bifurcation of sensory axons takes place. Do some intrinsic properties of sensory axons change, or does the microenvironment of axons changes and prevents them from further bifurcation? Inhibitory signals are still in the spinal cord and sensory axons are sensitive to them over the period of their rostrocaudal extension (Fu et al., 2000;Masuda et al., 2008;Watanabe et al., 2006). This suggests that after the initial bifurcation cGMP signalling ceases in sensory axons. As reported here, CNP, Npr2 and cGKI are all indispensible for sensory axon bifurcation. Which of these cGMP
components can be quickly inactivated and thereby stop cGMP signalling and secondary bifurcation in sensory axons?

cGKI expression is observed in sensory axons at E11-E14 and is reduced at E15 (Schmidt et al., 2002; Schäffer, 2006). cGKI is expressed in sensory axons when they are growing rostrocaudally but do not bifurcate further. cGKI can be inhibited by small-molecule modulators (Valtcheva et al., 2009), but there are no natural direct inhibitors of cGKI. Its activity in vivo is regulated by cGMP dynamics, which, in turn, depends on activities of guanylyl cyclases and phosphodiesterases.

Npr2 guanylyl cyclase is activated by binding of its ligand, CNP. ATP is also required for this process, therefore it is hypothesized that CNP binding to the extracellular domain facilitates ATP binding to the kinase homology domain causing Npr2 to undergo conformational changes (Garbers and Lowe, 1994; Potter, 2005; Potter et al., 2006; Potter and Hunter, 2001). Npr2 is phosphorylated in unstimulated mode. After initial stimulation Npr2 becomes dephosphorylated and this coincides with decreased activity of guanylyl cyclase. It is suggested that dephosphorylation mediates desensitization of the receptor (Potter and Hunter, 1998; Potter and Hunter, 1999; Potter and Hunter, 2001). Npr2 can be dephosphorylated and desensitized not only by CNP, which is called homologous desensitization, but also by agents other than natriuretic peptides, which is called heterologous desensitization. In contrast to homologous desensitization, a single phospho-site (S523 in Npr2) is most important among other phospho-sites for heterologous desensitization (Potter, 2005; Potter and Hunter, 2001).

Apart from desensitization, another mechanism to terminate further Npr2 signalling would be ligand-mediated internalization. However, there are controversies in the literature whether internalization of Npr1 and Npr2 is occurring. Moreover, whereas there are several papers reporting internalization of Npr1 (Pandey et al., 2002; Pandey et al., 2005), there is no single paper describing internalization of Npr2 (Fan et al., 2005).

Blocked activity of Npr2 guanylyl cyclase terminates cGMP signalling. It should become terminated after the first bifurcation of a sensory axon and not become reactivated in order to prevent further bifurcations. Homologous desensitization lasts until a yet unknown kinase phosphorylates Npr2. In case of Npr1, activation of a desensitized receptor is possible after 60 min, which suggests that the receptor is re-phosphorylated in 60 min (Bryan et al., 2006). Assuming, that Npr2 has similar properties as Npr1, these data indicate, that Npr2 desensitization can not be responsible for a complete termination of cGMP signalling and further bifurcations, due to its short period of action. However, this could be a first step in
termination of cGMP signalling, during which further long lasting effects on cGMP signalling would take place.

Other proteins regulating concentration of cGMP in the cell are phosphodiesterases (PDEs). In contrast to Npr2, they reduce cGMP concentration by hydrolysis. PDE2A is expressed in cell bodies of DRG neurons and their axons. Its expression correlates with the expression of cGKI and Npr2 in spinal cord (Seiferth, 2008). PDE2A is activated by cGMP and hydrolyzes both cGMP and cAMP. It can be palmitoylated and anchored to the membrane, where it functions as a component of large signalling complexes assembled into lipid rafts, or it can be also found in a soluble intracellular compartment (Menniti et al., 2006; Omori and Kotera, 2007).

PDE2A can reduce the concentration of cGMP in the cell by hydrolyzing it. However, as soon as Npr2 will be activated and will generate cGMP, the cGMP signalling will start again. PDE2A might, therefore, serve rather for a fine tuning of the cGMP signal, than for its termination. PDE2A can confine cGMP and cAMP to certain compartments creating gradients of these cyclic nucleotides (Conti and Beavo, 2007). In addition, it reduces levels of both cyclic nucleotides during the peak of cGMP elevation, creating dependence of the cAMP level on the level of cGMP (Zaccolo and Movsesian, 2007).

CNP is secreted at the dorsal spinal cord (Schmidt et al., 2009). It is synthesized as a 126 amino acid long prepropeptide. After removal of its signal peptide, furin mediated cleavage converts the propeptide to a biologically active 53- amino acid peptide which is secreted. The 53-amino acid CNP peptide can be further cleaved by an unknown extracellular enzyme to a 22-amino acid form. Both 53- and 22-amino acid CNP forms seem to be functionally similar (Potter et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2003). The cGMP signalling cascade can be activated by 22-amino acid CNP form in embryonic mouse DRG resulting in the generation of cGMP by Npr2 (Schmidt et al., 2009). It is not shown which form of active CNP is implicated in sensory axon bifurcation at the spinal cord. Most probably, termination of cGMP signalling would require the abolishment of both active CNP forms, first of all furin, which is the precursor of the 22-amino acid CNP form.

Another mechanism of CNP signal depletion is binding of CNP to Npr3. Npr3 is also called natriuretic peptide clearance receptor. It lacks a guanylyl cyclase activity, therefore, binding of natriuretic peptides to Npr3 does not lead to cGMP generation (Potter et al., 2006). Npr3 is expressed in embryonic dorsal and ventral spinal cord, and along dorsal roots (DiCicco-Bloom, 2004).
Downregulated furin activity resulting in a reduction of active CNP or upregulation of Npr3 resulting in depletion of CNP would affect all sensory neurons by globally terminating cGMP signalling. Such scenario suggests that all sensory neurons would be deprived of a signal to bifurcate as soon, as conversion of propeptide to the active form of CNP ceases or as Npr3 is upregulated. It is reported that sensory axons reach the dorsal root entry zone and bifurcate beginning from embryonic day 10.5, followed by collateral branching after one-to two-day long delay (Ozaki and Snider, 1997). This development is not strictly timely followed by all sensory axons. Whereas first axons reach dorsal root entry zone at embryonic day 10.5 and bifurcate, there are axons which bifurcate a day or two later. Analysis of sensory axons by DiI labelling revealed such situations where fully formed axonal bifurcations are next to active growth cones which have recently bifurcated (see Fig. 3.2 H, Fig. ). Such a prolonged time window for bifurcations objects to the hypothesis about a global inhibition of cGMP signalling in all sensory neurons simultaneously. Downregulation of active CNP or depletion of CNP would result in either of two outcomes: repeated bifurcation of early arrived sensory axons, if the CNP signal is downregulated at the end of the “waiting period”, or a small but significant fraction of turning axons, if the CNP is downregulated immediately after arrival of first sensory axons to the dorsal root entry zone. Since neither of these phenomena has been observed, it is not likely that the CNP signal is downregulated in order to prevent secondary bifurcations.

To sum up, selective termination of bifurcation signalling in those sensory axons, which have bifurcated, requires an individual regulation of the cGMP signal in every single sensory neuron. The best candidates for such regulation would be Npr2 and PDE2A. After CNP binding and activation of cGMP signalling, Npr2 becomes desensitized and thereby stops further generation of in axons, which received the bifurcation signal. However, activation of some other mechanism, leading to cGMP signalling termination, should follow Npr2 desensitization, because the latter lasts only transiently. PDE2A is activated in those sensory axons, which received the CNP signal and have an increased level of cGMP. PDE2A hydrolyzes both cGMP and cAMP, which means that activation of PDE2A activates regulation of cAMP signalling which in turn might effect termination of cGMP signalling.
4.3 Collateral branching in cGMP mutant mice

4.3.1 Mechanisms of sensory axon bifurcation and collateral branching are different

Analysis of cGMP signalling mutant mice revealed that sensory axon bifurcation is impaired. In contrast, another type of axonal branching, collateral branching, is not affected. This suggests that axonal bifurcation is regulated by cGMP signalling, whereas collateral branching requires other signals.

There are many studies analysing the effect of a certain branching factor on neurons grown in culture, however, it is difficult to discriminate between different modes of branching without video recording analysis. In their work Gallo and Letourneau analysed video recordings of cytoskeletal reorganization followed by collateral branching of embryonic (E9-10) chick sensory axons in culture, and demonstrated that collateral sprouting of sensory axons can be activated and stabilized by NGF, which signals via TrkA receptor and PI-3 kinase (Gallo and Letourneau, 1998). Proprioceptive axons express TrkC, but not TrkA, therefore, they should not be affected by NGF. This study was carried out in cell culture where some subsets of sensory neurons, e.g. proprioceptive neurons requiring NT-3 for their survival might have died. However, *in vivo* all sensory axons form collaterals, suggesting that not only NGF is implicated in this process.

Although the main axon and collaterals sprouting from the main axonal shaft belong to the same neuronal cell, they have different characteristics. This study revealed that bifurcation of the main axon and collateral branching are regulated by different mechanisms. Another study by Gallo et al. (1999) has demonstrated that the main axon and axon collaterals of embryonic DRG neurons exhibit different sensitivities to treatments that inhibit the dynamic growth of microtubule plus ends. Inhibition of microtubule dynamics did not effect elongation of collaterals, suggesting that the transport of microtubules, and not their polymerization, may be the most important mechanism of collateral growth (Gallo and Letourneau, 1999). It is not likely that microtubule dynamics is inhibited in the absence of cGMP signalling. Although the main axon does not bifurcate, it is elongating after the turning to either rostral or caudal direction, which indicates that microtubules are polymerised. Overall, different characteristics of the main axon and collaterals suggest that cGMP signalling regulates certain cellular functions, that are indispensible for bifurcation of the main axon but are not required for the formation of collateral branches.
4.3.2 Collateral branching is affected indirectly by cGMP signalling

Sprouting of single collaterals is not impaired in the absence of cGMP signal. However, when cGMP signalling is defect, there is only one branch of sensory axon left instead of two branches formed via bifurcation. This affects the total number of sprouting collaterals by the reduction of the total length of axon shaft from which they arise. Reduced amount of sensory collaterals in the cGKI or functional Npr2 deficient mouse embryos compared to the wild type mice was shown by anti-trkA (trkA is expressed by nociceptive neurons) staining in transverse spinal cord sections (Schmidt et al., 2002; Schmidt et al., 2007).

The comparison of distances between two adjacent collaterals revealed smaller distances in cGMP signalling mutant mice compared to wild type mice, suggesting a compensation mechanism for reduced total number of collaterals. It is difficult to hypothesize, whether this slightly increased density of collaterals is a result of action of a certain branching factor(s), or whether it could be explained simply by an increased amount of structural precursors per axon arm, compared to bifurcated sensory axons of wild type mice. However, this compensatory mechanism does not restore the number of collateral branches in cGMP mutant mice to the level, detected in wild type mice.

Analysis of cGMP mutant mice using electrophysiological methods demonstrated that functional connectivity of collateral branches with second order neurons within the superficial dorsal horn is impaired (Schmidt et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2009). A reduced number of collateral branches synapsing on second order neurons explains this functional connectivity deficit. One could expect that impaired connectivity in spinal cord could lead to reduced perception of certain stimuli, e.g. pain stimuli (nociception). However, perception of acute pain in cGKI knock-out mice is not disturbed (based on hot-plate test), suggesting that impaired connectivity of collaterals with second order neurons due to absent sensory axon bifurcations does not affect acute pain signal transmission. On the other hand, cGKI knock-out mice have reduced formalin evoked nociception and inflammatory hyperalgesia induced by zymosan, suggesting that cGKI is involved in perception of inflammatory pain signals (Tegeder et al., 2004). Schmidtko et al. report that cGKI is involved in processing of nociceptive signals in spinal cord, however, the signalling is different from the signalling which regulates bifurcation. cGMP, playing a role of mediator in nociceptive processing, is produced by soluble guanylyl cyclase activated by NO. Moreover, the NO-GC signalling does not take place in DRG neurons, it is confined to the dorsal horn cells (Schmidtko et al., 2008a; Schmidtko et al., 2008b; Schmidtko et al., 2009). These data demonstrate that NO-GC-
cGMP-cGKI is involved in sensitization during inflammatory or neuropathic pain. But this pathway is not involved in basal pain perception (Schmidtko et al., 2009). Since pain perception should be processed during the relaying process from sensory neurons to second-order neurons, cGMP signalling, inducing bifurcation and thereby ensuring a proper length of axonal shafts generating collateral branches, could play a role in basal pain perception. Components of cGMP signalling play a role in other cellular functions, not only in axonal bifurcation. cGKI is required for relaxation of smooth muscle cells, CNP and Npr2 – for long bone formation. Therefore, cGMP signalling mutant mice have other phenotypes besides the absence of sensory axon bifurcation, which aggravates analysis of basal pain perception in these mice.

4.4 Do some of known phosphorylation targets of cGKI could play a role in axonal bifurcation?

CgKI plays a role in smooth muscle relaxation, and platelet aggregation and the downstream targets that lead to such effects are studied well. Branching of axons involves rearrangement of cytoskeletal elements, therefore it is likely that signalling pathways regulating branching will converge at the level of the cytoskeleton. Many of known cGKI targets, e.g. VASP which plays a role in platelet aggregation, myosin phosphatase target subunit 1 (MYPT1) and RhoA, which regulate relaxation of smooth muscle, act by regulating cytoskeletal dynamics. Hence, it is likely that already known phosphorylation targets of cGKI, which regulate the cytoskeleton, are implicated in sensory axon bifurcation. To test this hypothesis I analysed some known targets of cGKI regarding their role in sensory axon bifurcation. Moreover, I confirmed cGKI dependent phosphorylation of some putative targets of cGKI – Mena and GSK3β and analysed their effect on sensory axon bifurcation.

4.4.1 Ena/VASP family

Analysis of whole-mount stainings of VASP knock-out mice was carried out by S.Schäffer (Schäffer, 2006) and repeated on the single axon level using DiI staining in this study. It did not reveal any abnormalities in sensory axon bifurcation although it confirmed phosphorylation of VASP by cGKI. However, this finding is not sufficient to conclude that VASP is not involved in sensory axon bifurcation because VASP belongs to the Ena/VASP
protein family, where family members have closely related functions and can substitute each other (Krause et al., 2002; Krause et al., 2003; Kwiatkowski et al., 2003; Kwiatkowski et al., 2007). Double knock-out mice lacking both VASP and Mena also do not show sensory axon bifurcation defects, suggesting that either Ena/VASP family proteins are really dispensable for sensory axon bifurcation or the third family member, EVL (Ena/VASP like protein), substitutes VASP and Mena proteins in double knock-out mice. This uncertainty could be solved only by the analysis of triple double knock-out mice. Having in mind, that both VASP and Mena are phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG (this work; Schäffer, 2006), and this affects actin filaments by accelerating their elongation (Breitsprecher et al., 2008; Lebrand et al., 2004), it is very likely that this cytoskeleton rearrangement via actin elongation plays a role in bifurcation. On the other hand, this role might be not so crucial for axonal bifurcation to be reflected in a defect sensory axon bifurcation even in the triple knock-out mouse.

4.4.2 GSK3-β

GSK3-β becomes phosphorylated upon cGKI stimulation in DRG neurons (Zhao et al., 2009) and upon cGKII stimulation in chondrocytes (Kawasaki et al., 2008). Moreover, Zhao et al. demonstrated that inhibition of GSK3-β by phosphorylation is required for axonal branching in vitro induced by cGMP. Rat DRG neurons expressing dominant active GSK3-β show a much simpler morphology compared to wild type neurons, even in the presence of cGMP analogues (Zhao et al., 2009). These characteristics of GSK3-β make it a very likely candidate to be implicated in sensory axon bifurcation, however, analysis of GSK3 double knockin mice with constitutively active mutated GSK3α and β forms did not reveal any defects in sensory axon bifurcation.

Active unphosphorylated GSK3-β inhibits most of its targets, like microtubule associated proteins: CRMP2, APC, MAP2 or kinesin light chain, but not MAP1B. MAP1B is activated upon phosphorylation of GSK3-β. Complete inhibition of GSK3-β prevents phosphorylation of MAP1B and, thereby, inhibits axonal growth. Moderate reduction of GSK3-β activity does not prevent phosphorylation of MAP1B and elongation of axon, but results in reduced phosphorylation of other microtubule associated proteins, leading to increased branching of axons (Fig. 4.2) (Kim et al., 2006).
The model for regulation of axon morphology by GSK3 proposed by Kim et al. explains why the dominant active GSK3-β phosphomutant, used in experiments by Zhao et al., inhibited cGMP induced branching in DRG neurons (Zhao et al., 2009). Active GSK3-β inhibited APC and CRMP2, thereby reducing cytoskeletal rearrangement events and formation of branches, allowing only elongation of axon (Fig. 4.2 A). Based on the results of Kim et al. and the proposed model, one would expect that GSK3 double knockin mice has defect neurons, and bifurcation or collateral formation of sensory axons is impaired. However, this study did not reveal any defects (Fig. 3.21, Fig. 3.23). Another study of GSK3 double knockin mice carried out by Gärtner et al. also did not reveal any obvious neuronal defects (Gartner et al., 2006), suggesting that phosphorylation is not the major mechanism of GSK3 activity regulation. Modulation of subcellular distribution of GSK3, complex formation with GSK3 binding proteins and regulation of the phosphorylation state of substrates requiring priming, like CRMP2 and APC, is also of importance. Reduced activity of Cdk5, a priming kinase of...
CRMP2 (Yoshimura et al., 2005), and of CK1, a priming kinase for APC (Ferrarese et al., 2007), would neutralise the effect of constantly active GSK3 phosphomutant.

In contrast to DRG, GSK3-β is not phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI in F11 cells. These cells express both cGKI and GSK3-β. cGKI in F11 cells can be activated by the cGMP analogue 8pCPTcGMP resulting in VASP phosphorylation. There is no proof that cGKI directly phosphorylates GSK3-β in vivo, but in vitro it can phosphorylate GSK3-β (Zhao et al., 2009). Moreover, phosphorylation takes place within 5 minutes of cGKI stimulation, as it is in the case of VASP, suggesting that the reaction is direct. Nevertheless it is possible that GSK3-β is phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI by another kinase which was activated by cGKI, and this kinase is not present in F11 cells, in contrast to DRG. However, a more likely scenario is that subcellular distribution of GSK3-β in F11 cells is different compared to DRG and not accessible for cGKI. There are reports, describing redistribution of GSK3-β in response to different factors, like lipid, glucose or glycogen uptake (Fernandez-Novell et al., 1992; Nielsen et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2006).

Summing up, regulation of GSK3-β activity does not depend solely on phosphorylation. Many other factors can modulate the effect of GSK3 phosphorylation and inactivation by cGKI, therefore, it is difficult to dissect the role of GSK3 inactivation in sensory axons.

### 4.4.3 RhoA

RhoA belongs to the Rho GTPase protein family which transduces extracellular signals to the actin cytoskeleton (Heasman and Ridley, 2008; Linseman and Loucks, 2008). Although nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) and GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) constitute the major regulation mechanism of RhoA, direct phosphorylation or ubiquitination of RhoA also can regulate its activity (Heasman and Ridley, 2008; Jaffe and Hall, 2005). RhoA has been shown to be phosphorylated and inactivated by cGKI (Sauzeau et al., 2000; Sauzeau et al., 2003; Sawada et al., 2001; Sawada et al., 2009). Difficulties to detect phosphorylation of RhoA were described in earlier reports (Lang et al., 1996; Sauzeau et al., 2000). Cytosolic RhoA bound by GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) cannot be phosphorylated because the phosphorylation site is masked by GDP. Only GDP-free membrane-bound fraction of RhoA can be phosphorylated. Membrane-bound RhoA represents 2-3% of total RhoA in a cell, which explains why detection of phosphorylation in lysates is difficult (Lang et al., 1996). Analysis of phosphorylation of only those several percent of membrane-bound RhoA, by
immunoprecipitating them from membrane fraction, would facilitate detection of phosphorylation.

Preliminary results, which have to be confirmed by more thorough analysis, show that RhoA is not phosphorylated in DRG upon stimulation of cGKI. It has been reported in other studies on PKA that RhoA regulation can be achieved via indirect pathway as well as via direct phosphorylation. There are reports about phosphorylation of GDI by PKA, which leads to inhibition of RhoA (Qiao et al., 2008), or phosphorylation of GDI via thrombin-PKC leading to activation of RhoA (Qiao et al., 2003). Therefore, it is very likely, that RhoA is regulated by cGKI in DRG, but not via direct phosphorylation.

RhoA is expressed in DRG and is involved in cytoskeleton regulation, suggesting that it might be involved in sensory axon bifurcation. A RhoA knockout mouse has not been created, moreover, it would probably be embryonic lethal because of its role in implantation (Shiokawa et al., 2000).

4.4.4 Myosin IIB

Myosin IIB is one of three nonmuscle myosin II isoforms in mammalian neurons (Brown, 2004). It plays a role in retrograde actin flow in growth cones (Lin, 1996; Medeiros, 2006; Brown, 2003). The major regulation mechanism of myosin is through phosphorylation of the regulatory myosin light chain (MLC) by the Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin dependent myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) or dephosphorylation by the myosin phosphatase (Brown, 2004). cGKI can regulate calcium level and thereby activity of MLCK (Hofmann, 2009). cGKI can also phosphorylate myosin phosphatase target subunit (MYPT1) and thereby prevent inhibition of myosin phosphatase (Lontay, 2005; Surks, 1999).

RhoA can activate Rho kinase (ROCK) which inhibits myosin phosphatase. As a result, even under decreased concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) MLC remains phosphorylated and activates myosin ATPase (Brown and Bridgman, 2004). In this work a direct regulation of RhoA by cGKI phosphorylation was not detected. However, RhoA could be indirectly activated by cGKI as discussed on previous page.

Since cGKI is involved in regulation of myosin activity and myosin IIB plays a role in retrograde actin flow in growth cones, myosin IIB is a likely candidate to be implicated in sensory axon bifurcation. However, analysis of myosin IIB knock-out mice did not reveal any defects of sensory axons. This suggests that myosin IIB is not essential in sensory axon.
bifurcation and twofold increase in retrograde flow in growth cones of myosin IIB knock–out mice does not play a crucial role in this. Moreover, if a regulatory function of RhoA in the myosin system would be important in sensory axon bifurcation, it would become apparent in the absence of myosin IIB, disrupting the balance of myosin system. However, this analysis shows that the myosin system itself and, therefore, the regulatory function of RhoA in the myosin system, is not essential in sensory axon bifurcation.

Overall, analysis of several of the known or putative phosphorylation targets of cGKI demonstrated that some of these targets are phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG, however, none of them was revealed to play a crucial role in sensory axon bifurcation. It is possible that a single target of cGKI, which is indispensable for axon bifurcation, exists. Although, it is most likely that a protein conducting axon bifurcation will regulate cytoskeleton dynamics, other proteins involved in transport, substrate adhesion or even local protein synthesis cannot be excluded. However, it is also possible that cGKI sets a network of proteins, which are equally important, into action, and absence of one single protein does not abolish the function of the whole network.

4.5 Phosphorylation targets detected by the phospho-motif antibody
4.5.1 Pros and cons of the antibody against RRXpS/pT motif

Phospho-motif antibodies can be used to identify specific kinase substrates. In theory, they should recognize substrates of a given kinase only when these substrates are phosphorylated. Anti-RRXpS/pT antibody was successfully used in studies of PKA substrates (Boo, 2006; Manning et al., 2002). cGKI is closely related with cAMP dependent kinase (PKA), and the RRXpS/pT motif as well as some downstream targets are even shared by both kinases. Therefore, the antibody against RRXpS/pT motif was used in the search for cGKI targets. Although the antibody recognizes several proteins differently phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI, it has some drawbacks. Experiments with VASP demonstrate that the anti-RRXpS/pT does not recognize all substrates phosphorylated on RRXpS/pT motif. VASP contains RRVSN motif. Phosphorylation of this motif leads to a mobility shift of VASP from 46 to 50 kDa. (Krause et al., 2003). This shift can be observed in anti-VASP Western blots of DRG or F11 lysates stimulated with cGMP analogue, however, no band of 50 kDa recognized by the antibody
against RRXpS/pT was observed in Western blots of stimulated DRG lysates or stimulated F11 cell lysates. This shows that anti-RRXpS/pT does not recognize all phosphorylated RRXS/T motifs. Lysates subjected to lambda-phosphatase treatment should not contain any phospho-proteins, therefore, anti-RRXpS/pT Western blotting analysis of such lysates should not reveal any bands. Indeed the antibody against RRXpS/pT does not recognize any proteins in mouse embryonic DRG lysate (3.38A). However, it recognizes several proteins on Western blots membranes that were dephosphorylated (3.38 B) or on Western blots of dephosphorylated F11 cell lysates (3.38 C), suggesting that the antibody can recognize non-phosphorylated proteins as well. The phospho-motif specific antibody is a good tool to detect phosphorylation targets of cGKI, but the results should be carefully proved having in mind the drawbacks of the antibody.

4.5.2 Identity of the 51 kDa protein dephosphorylated in F11 cells and DRG upon stimulation of cGKI

Although anti-RRXpS/pT analysis revealed different phosphorylation pattern between lysates prepared from F11 cells and embryonic mouse DRG it detected dephosphorylation of a 51 kDa protein upon stimulation of cGKI in both F11 cells and DRG neurons. Dephosphorylation of this 51 kDa protein upon cGKI stimulation is an unusual outcome of the kinase activity. This result might be due to cGKI dependent inhibition of an unknown kinase by phosphorylation, or by cGKI binding to the 51 kDa protein, thereby preventing access to it for another kinase. This would lead to gradual dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa protein due to basal phosphatase activity. Another possibility is that the 51 kDa protein becomes dephosphorylated by a phosphatase activated by cGKI. The only phosphatase known to interact with cGKI is myosine phosphatase. cGKI binds and phosphorylates myosin phosphatase target subunit 1 (MYPT1) (Lontay et al., 2005; Surks et al., 1999). Phosphorylation of Ser694 by cGKI prevents phosphorylation of Thr695 and, thereby, blocks inhibition of myosine phosphatase. Although phosphorylation by cGKI keeps myosin phosphatase active, there is no protein of 51 kDa known to be dephosphorylated by myosin phosphatase. The only known target is myosin light chain which has a molecular mass of approximately 20 kDa.
This study reported that GSK3-β is phosphorylated upon cGKI phosphorylation in embryonic mouse DRG. Upon phosphorylation GSK3-β becomes inactive and its phosphorylation targets would become dephosphorylated due to phosphatase activity. On the one hand, it could be an explanation for dephosphorylation of the 51 kDa protein in a cGKI dependent manner, and would lead to MAPs dephosphorylated upon phosphorylation of GSK3-β. On the other hand, there is no dephosphorylation of GSK3-β upon stimulation of cGKI in F11 cells, however, the 51 kDa targets becomes dephosphorylated, suggesting that this unknown 51 kDa protein is not a target of GSK3-β.

In conclusion, characteristics of the 51 kDa protein dephosphorylated in a cGKI dependent manner suggest that this protein is most likely a novel indirect downstream target of cGKI. Attempts to identify the 51 kDa from the cytoplasmic fraction resolved by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE and postnuclear supernatant resolved by two-dimensional SDS-PAGE by MS analysis brought completely different results. Therefore, it is difficult to hypothesize, which protein might become dephosphorylated in a cGKI dependent manner, and whether this would play a role in sensory axon bifurcation. Cytoskeletal proteins like tubulin beta 1, or transport protein dynein, were found due to their high copy-number, and it is rather coincidence, that they have a cGKI phosphorylation motif. Fascin is expressed in the nervous system, moreover its actin bundling activity is inhibited by phosphorylation, implying a role in axonal bifurcation, if it is really an indirect target of cGKI. However, no study reports phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of Thr346 in amino acid sequence RRIT, only phosphorylation of Ser39 in amino acid sequence SASS (Ono et al., 1997), which would not be detected by anti-RRXpS/pT antibody.

4.6 Conclusions

This study investigated cGMP signalling and its role in sensory axon bifurcation by analysing sensory axons of mutant mice lacking known or putative components of the cGMP signalling cascade (CNP, Npr2, cGKI) and by searching downstream phosphorylation targets of cGKI with the help of biochemical methods. Analysis of Dil labelled sensory axons in cGKI knock-out, Npr2 and CNP mutant mice showed that all these proteins are components of the cGMP signalling involved in sensory axon bifurcation (Fig. 4.3). If any of these components is absent or is functionally defect, the cGMP signalling is impaired resulting in the absence of
sensory axon bifurcations at the DREZ. Collateral branching remains even if the cGMP signalling is disrupted suggesting that this signalling is not required for collateral branching.

Fig. 4.3: cGMP signalling in sensory axon bifurcation. (A) cGMP signalling cascade in DRG. CNP binds Npr2 and thereby activates it. Activated Npr2 generates cGMP from GTP. cGMP binds and activates cGKI. The signalling downstream of cGKI is not clear yet, therefore, two possible outcomes are represented.
- On the left side cGKI phosphorylates target X, which alone is required for the bifurcation of sensory axons.
- On the right side cGKI phosphorylates multiple proteins simultaneously. Some of these proteins are known targets like VASP, Mena or GSK3-β, whereas Y represents not yet identified downstream target of cGKI. These proteins form a network, where all members are involved in sensory axon bifurcation. A loss of one member, does not lead the collapse of the whole network, only a loss of several members will lead to this.

(B) cGMP signalling induces bifurcation of sensory axons at the dorsal root entry zone. (C) In mice mutant for cGMP signalling components sensory axons turn either to caudal or rostral direction without bifurcation. Interstitial branching is not affected by deficient cGMP signalling.

This study also demonstrated that Mena and GSK3-β are phosphorylated in DRG upon stimulation of cGKI. However, neither the Mena/VASP double knock-out mouse, nor the GSK3-β knock-in mouse showed any sensory axon defects. This suggests two explanations:
Mena, VASP, and GSK3-β do not play any role in sensory axon bifurcation, although they are phosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI in DRG. cGKI has some other phosphorylation target in DRG, which is not detected yet, and which alone leads to cytoskeleton rearrangements resulting in sensory axon bifurcation.

cGKI has multiple phosphorylation targets in DRG, which all play a role in sensory axon bifurcation and build a system functioning even if some of its components is/are missing. Only the lack of several components brings the system to collapse reflected in a loss of sensory axon bifurcations.

Finding of phosphorylation targets of cGKI involved in sensory axon bifurcation is necessary to understand how cGMP signalling induces axonal bifurcation. If the first scenario is the correct one, then detection of new cGKI phosphorylation targets in DRG is crucial. Analysis of a knock-out mouse lacking this phospho-target of cGKI would show, whether it is indispensable for sensory axon bifurcation. If multiple cGKI targets induce sensory axon bifurcation, then it will be difficult to dissect which of them are indispensable for this process and which are rather auxiliary ones. Even in this scenario detection of new cGKI targets and their analysis is important. It would help to understand the network of multiple proteins involved in sensory axon bifurcation. Therefore, the search for new cGKI targets in DRG should proceed. There are other methods, which could be also used in this search. Metabolic labelling of cell culture or even the whole mouse with stable isotopes for quantitative proteomic analysis is a promising technique which could provide data for changes in phosphorylation of certain proteins (Ishihama et al., 2005; Krijgsveld et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2004; Wu and Maccoss, 2007).
Axon guidance to its targets is accomplished not only via navigation of the primary growth cone but also by initiation and elaboration of branches. Axonal branching enables innervation of multiple targets by the same neuron, leading to the formation of a complex neural network. Whereas guidance of a growth cone is a quite well studied phenomenon, axonal branching is less understood.

In this work I studied branching of DRG axons, which display two modes of branching – bifurcation and interstitial branching. Based on results of previous works, suggesting that cGKI is required for sensory axon bifurcation, I analysed cGKI knock-out mice using DiI tracing to confirm initial observations. cGKI is a part of cGMP signalling, therefore, I analysed mice mutant for other components of cGMP signalling pathway, namely for the guanylyl cyclase Npr2 and its ligand CNP, by DiI labelling. The results of this analysis demonstrated that CNP, Npr2 and cGKI act in a cGMP signalling cascade, which is crucial for sensory axon bifurcation. Sensory axons in all mice mutant for CNP-Npr2-cGKI signalling do not bifurcate at the dorsal root entry zone, instead they turn either to rostral or caudal direction. Interestingly, interstitial branching of sensory axons is not impaired by deficient cGMP signalling.

I analysed branching of pyramidal neuron dendrites in cortex and hippocampus as well as central projection of trigeminal sensory axon in order to determine, whether bifurcations observed there are regulated by cGMP signalling. The results demonstrated that bifurcation of central projection of trigeminal sensory axon is indeed regulated by cGMP signalling. In the cGKI knock-out mouse trigeminal sensory axons do not bifurcate upon reaching the brainstem but turn to join either the ascending or descending tract.

Further, I searched for downstream phosphorylation target(s) of cGKI that would play a role in sensory axon bifurcation. First of all, I analysed known/putative targets of cGKI. VASP was already shown to be phosphorylated by cGKI in DRG. I could demonstrate that Mena and GSK3-β are also phosphorylated in DRG upon stimulation of cGKI. Analysis of Mena/VASP double knock-out mouse as well as analysis of GSK3-β double knock-in mouse (Ser21 in GSK3-α and Ser9 in GSK3-β were changed to alanine preventing phosphorylation of GSK3-β) using DiI tracing demonstrated, that sensory axon bifurcation is not impaired, suggesting that VASP, Mena and GSK3-β are not crucial for sensory axon bifurcation.
Search for new phosphorylation targets of cGKI using the antibody recognizing a motif, preferably phosphorylated by cGK - RRXpS/pT - resulted in detection of several proteins phosphorylated, and, interestingly, one protein dephosphorylated upon stimulation of cGKI. This work elucidated CNP-Npr2-cGKI signalling which is crucial for sensory axon bifurcation. It remains unclear, whether a single phosphorylation target of cGKI, which alone would induce bifurcation, exists, or it is rather a network of multiple cGKI targets, which can be unfolded only by knock-out of multiple components of such network.

5 ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Bei der Suche nach neuen Phosphorylierungs-Substraten der cGKI wurde ein Antikörper, der ein Phosphorylierungsmotiv der cGKI - RRXpS/pT - erkennt, verwendet. Dies führte zur Entdeckung von mehreren Proteinen, die nach Stimulation der cGKI phosphoryliert werden und interessanterweise, von einem Protein, das durch die Stimulation der cGKI dephosphoryliert wird.

Diese Arbeit zeigte, dass die CNP-Npr2-cGKI Signalkaskade für die Vergabelung der Axone entscheidend ist. Es bleibt unklar, ob ein einzelnes Phosphorylierungssubstrat der cGKI, das allein die Vergabelung veranlasst, besteht, oder ob eher ein Netz von mehreren cGKI-Substraten existiert, um eine Bifurkation auszulösen.
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### 7 APPENDIX

**Table 1**: Proteins of cytoplasmatic fraction of F11 cells, detected by mass spectrometry analysis of a one-dimensional SDS-PAGE gel band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q7TMM9</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-2A chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7E3N2</td>
<td>Neutrophil cytosol factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O08651</td>
<td>D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04797</td>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P05213</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha-1B chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P06745</td>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10126</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1-alpha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15429</td>
<td>Beta-enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17182</td>
<td>Alpha-enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18298</td>
<td>S adenosylmethionine synthetase isoform type 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P18418</td>
<td>Calreticulin precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P19324</td>
<td>Serpin H1 precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P20673</td>
<td>Argininosuccinate lyase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P34058</td>
<td>Heat shock protein HSP 90 beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P36269</td>
<td>Actin gamma enteric smooth muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50399</td>
<td>Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62738</td>
<td>Actin aortic smooth muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P60123</td>
<td>RuvB-like 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P60711</td>
<td>Actin cytoplasmic 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P61203</td>
<td>COP9 signalosome complex subunit 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62960</td>
<td>Nuclease-sensitive element-binding protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6259</td>
<td>Actin cytoplasmic 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P67136</td>
<td>Actin alpha skeletal muscle 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P68369</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha-1A chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P68372</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-2C chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P80313</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1 subunit eta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P80315</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1 subunit delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P85108</td>
<td>Tubulin beta 2A chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P99024</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-5 chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q30A01</td>
<td>Gaba B receptor interacting scaffolding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q32PX5</td>
<td>Smc4I1 protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q388Q2</td>
<td>Similar to DEAD Asp Glu Ala Asp box polypeptide 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3KRE8</td>
<td>Tubulin beta 2B chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4KME87</td>
<td>Actin like 6A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4QRB8</td>
<td>Dead end homolog 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5RK11</td>
<td>Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XIC6</td>
<td>Proteasome prosome macropain 26S subunit non ATPase 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XIF6</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha 4A chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XIM9</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1 subunit beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q63170</td>
<td>Dynein heavy chain 7, axonemal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q63570</td>
<td>26S protease regulatory subunit 6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q641X8</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q68FR6</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1 gamma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q68FR8    Tubulin alpha-3 chain
Q6AXS5    Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 RNA-binding protein
Q6AY56    Tubulin alpha 8 chain
Q6AYT3    UPF0027 protein C22orf28 homolog
Q6AYZ1    Tubulin alpha-1C chain
Q6P3V8    Eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-1
Q6Q0N1    Cytosolic non-specific dipeptidase
Q78ZA7    Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1
Q794E4    Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein F
Q8OTB8    Oxidoreductase KIAA1576
Q91Y10    Argininosuccinate lyase
Q922F4    Tubulin beta-6 chain
Q922R8    Protein disulfide-isomerase A6 precursor
Q99JY9    Actin-related protein 3
Q99K48    Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein
Q9CZ30    Obg-like ATPase 1
Q9D6F9    Tubulin beta-4 chain
Q9D8N0    Elongation factor 1-gamma
Q9DCD0    6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating
Q9ERD7    Tubulin beta-3 chain

**Table 2:** Proteins of postnuclear fraction of F11 cells, detected by mass spectrometry analysis of a two-dimensional SDS-PAGE gel spot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1L113</td>
<td>Keratin 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1L317</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2A4L8</td>
<td>MCG15366, isoform CRA_c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2A513</td>
<td>Keratin 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2ASN1</td>
<td>Tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2A875</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma binding protein 4 (Retinoblastoma binding protein 4, isoform CRA_a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AF19</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma binding protein 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AFJ0</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma binding protein 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AFR8</td>
<td>Seryl-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AO07</td>
<td>Tubulin, beta 1 (MCG9966)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2AWQ3</td>
<td>Regulator of chromosome condensation 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2BDV8</td>
<td>MOUSE Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2RSB1</td>
<td>Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3KML3</td>
<td>Tyrosine 3-monoxygenase/tryptophan 5-monoxygenase activation protein, theta polypeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8IP69</td>
<td>14-3-3 protein gamma subtype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B0K024</td>
<td>Aamp protein (Fragment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1AQ76</td>
<td>Keratin 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1B178</td>
<td>Tubulin, beta 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1WBN9</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase, liver and red blood cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2CY77</td>
<td>Laminin receptor (Fragment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2MWM9</td>
<td>Calreticulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RQH6</td>
<td>Keratin 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RRE2</td>
<td>Myo18a protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RRT9</td>
<td>Zinc finger, RAN-binding domain containing 2 (Zinc finger, RAN-binding domain containing 2, isoform CRA a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RRX1</td>
<td>Actin, beta (Actin, beta, cytoplasmic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RSN3</td>
<td>Tubulin, beta 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RTTP7</td>
<td>Krt2 protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2RYG4</td>
<td>Kptn protein (Kaptin (Actin binding protein) (Predicted), isoform CRA_a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4F7C2</td>
<td>Tubulin, beta 4 (RCG45085)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5DEI1</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O08651</td>
<td>D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P00762</td>
<td>Anionic trypsin-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P04104</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10719</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit beta, mitochondrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11598</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11679</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P11980</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P12369</td>
<td>cAMP-dependent protein kinase type II-beta regulatory subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15331</td>
<td>Peripherin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P24090</td>
<td>Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P31000</td>
<td>Vimentin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50396</td>
<td>Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50446</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 6A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52480</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P63101</td>
<td>14-3-3 protein zeta/delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P68372</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-2C chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q05CH7</td>
<td>Eif5 protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q0VDM9</td>
<td>Krt78 protein (Fragment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q32P04</td>
<td>Keratin 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3SY5P</td>
<td>Keratin 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3T984</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3T9L0</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TDF8</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TEK2</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TEU8</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TF41</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TF72</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TFD9</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TFG3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3THH8</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TIA9</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TIH8</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TII0</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1, delta subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TI13</td>
<td>Elongation factor 1-alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TIU3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TIZ0</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TJH1</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TJ52</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TIN2</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TKC5</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3TY3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3U224</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3U6S1</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3U9U3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UBB0</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UHC3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UHM4</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UMM1</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UMP4</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3UV17</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3V1K9</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4FZU2</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 6A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4QQV0</td>
<td>Tubulin, beta 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4QRB4</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-3 chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession No.</td>
<td>Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4R1A4</td>
<td>TRK-fused gene protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q542X7</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5X1I3</td>
<td>Glutamate-rich WD repeat-containing protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XIM9</td>
<td>T-complex protein 1 subunit beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5XJZ3</td>
<td>Gwr1 protein (Fragment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q61555</td>
<td>Fascin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q62418</td>
<td>Drebrin-like protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6IFU7</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6IFX2</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6IFZ4</td>
<td>Type II keratin Kb15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6IG03</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6P7S0</td>
<td>Pyruvate kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6P9V9</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha-1B chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7TMN9</td>
<td>Tubulin beta-2A chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q80VP7</td>
<td>Predicted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8BFZ3</td>
<td>Beta-actin-like protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8BRD3</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8C0C7</td>
<td>Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase alpha chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8KIG5</td>
<td>Protein TSSC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8K1M3</td>
<td>Protein kinase, cAMP dependent regulatory, type II alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8K3U8</td>
<td>FK506 binding protein 4 (Fragment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8VE88</td>
<td>Protein FAM114A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8VED5</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8VHV7</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9CY29</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9D828</td>
<td>Putative uncharacterized protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9HJL4</td>
<td>Drebrin-like protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9JJD8</td>
<td>Brain cDNA, clone MNCb-1272, similar to Mus musculus chaperonin subunit 2 (beta) (Cct2), mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9QWL7</td>
<td>Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9R0H5</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9Z2L7</td>
<td>Cytokine receptor-like factor 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Proteins of postnuclear fraction of F11 cells, detected by mass spectrometry analysis of a two-dimensional Western blot membrane.
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µl mikroliter
µM mikromolar
µm mikrometer
1D one dimensional
2D two dimensional
2H3 neurofilament protein
8-pCPTcGMP 8-para-chlorophenylthio-cGMP
AP alkaline phosphatase
APC adenomatosis polyposis coli protein
APS ammonium persulphate
BSA bovine serum albumine
cAMP cyclic adenosine-3’,5’ monophosphate
cGKI cGMP dependent kinase
cGMP cyclic guanosine-3’,5’ monophosphate
CNP C-type natriuretic peptide
CRMP2 collapsin response mediator protein-2
Cy3 indocarbocynine
DAB diaminobenzidine
DiI 1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3’,3’-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate
DMSO dimethylsulfoxid
dNTP desoxiribonucleoside triphosphate
DREZ dorsal root entry zone
DRG dorsal root ganglion (ganglia)
E embryonic day
ECL enhanced chemiluminescence
EGF epidermal growth factor
Ena Enabled (protein)
EVL Ena/VASP like (protein)
FGF fibroblast growth factor
Fig. figure
g gravitation force
GC guanylyl cyclase
GFP Green fluorescent protein
GSK3 glycogen synthase kinase 3
h hour
HRP horseradish peroxidase
HT heterozygous
IEF isoelectric focussing
IP immunoprecipitation
IP3R inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor
IPG immobilised pH gradient
kDa kilodalton (mol. mass)
KO knock-out
l liter
lbab long bone abnormality
Mena mammalian ena
min minute
mM millimolar
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>molecular weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGF</td>
<td>nerve growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>nitric oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Npr2</td>
<td>natriuretic peptide receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>not stimulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-3</td>
<td>neurotrophin-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>degree Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE</td>
<td>phosphodiesterase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGF</td>
<td>platelet derived growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pI</td>
<td>isoelectric point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKA</td>
<td>cAMP dependent kinase, also called protein kinase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSF</td>
<td>phenylmethylsulfonylfluorid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>revolutions per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>SDS polyacrilamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>N,N,N,N – tetramethylethydiamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trkA</td>
<td>tropomyosin related kinase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASP</td>
<td>vasodilatory stimulated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFP</td>
<td>yellow fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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